## **EXHIBIT C**

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.

# MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019



126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10022
P: 212-750-6434 F: 212-750-1097
www.ellengrauer.com

Original File 267307.TXT

Allen V. Rendrick with Harry to day

_				April 4, 2019
	Page 1			Page 3
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	2		
3	In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.,	3	ROBBINS SCHWARTZ	
4	Debtors.	1	Attorneys for CUSD	
5	-	1	55 West Monroe, Suite 800	
6	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York		Chicago, Illinois 60603	
7	April 4, 2019	7	BY: KENNETH M. FLOREY, ESQ.	
8	9:32 a.m.	8	312.332.7760	
9		9	kflorey@robbins-schwartz.com	
10	Deposition of MOHSIN MEGHJI, before	10	, .	
11	Kristi Cruz, a Notary Public of the State of New	11		
12	York.	12	ARCHER & GREINER, P.C.	
13		13	Attorneys for CUSD	
14		14	630 Third Avenue	
15		15	New York, New York 10017	
16		16	BY: ALLEN G. KADISH, ESQ.	
17		17	212.682.4940	
18		18	akadish@archerlaw.com	
19		19	_	
20		20		
21		21		
22		22		
23	ELLEN GRAUER COURT REPORTING CO., LLC	23		
24	126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10022	24		
25	212-750-6434 REF: 267307	25		
	Page 2			Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)	
2		2		
3	WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	3	VEDDER PRICE	
4	Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession	4	Attorneys for Village of Hoffman Estates	
5	767 Fifth Avenue	5	1633 Broadway, 31st Floor	
6	New York, New York 10153	6	New York, New York 10019	
7	BY: JARED FRIEDMANN, ESQ.	7	BY: MICHAEL L. SCHEIN, ESQ.	
8	H. DAVID LESLIE, ESQ.	8	212.407.6920	
9	212.310.8828	9	mschein@vedderprice.com	
10	jared.friedmann@weil.com	10		
11		11		
12		12		
13	LAW OFFICE OF KORY ATKINSON	13		
14	Attorneys for CUSD	14		
15	236 West Lake Street, Suite 100	15		ļ
16	Bloomingdale, Illinois 60108	16		
17	BY: KORY ATKINSON, ESQ.	17		
18	630.980.9100	18		
19	kaa@koryatkinson.com	19		
20	-	20		
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25	·	25		
		43		

In re	:: SEARS HOI	DING C <b>URBUKAPPO</b> RŞİL	ฎก Trans	cri	ot Pg 4 of 45  MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019
			Page 5		Page 7
1		I N D E X		-	M MECHI
2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE	1	
3	MOHSIN MEGHJI	MR. ATKINSON	6, 114	2	A. Sears.
4		MR. FRIEDMANN	111		Q. Sears. How they tracked its
5				5	41 44 441 6 4 1000 1 41 1 1 1 1 1
6				6	
7		E X H I B I T S		7	
8	MEGHJI	DESCRIPTION	FOR I.D.	8	1 1 77 00
9	Exhibit A	Declaration of Mohsin Y.	8	و	including the number of Sears employees
10		Meghji		10	working 35 hours or more per week at its
11	Exhibit B	Letter dated November 7,	12	11	Hoffman Estates campus, the number of
12		2017		12	employees of tenant employees at the Hoffman
13	Exhibit C	Letter dated November 14,	17	13	Estates campus working 35 hours or more per
14		2018		14	week, the number of contractors working
15	Exhibit D	Notice of Deposition	53	15	35 hours or more per week at the Hoffman
16				16	Estates campus, and the number of building or
17				17	OTB contractors working more than 35 hours per
18	(E	XHIBITS TO BE PRODUCED)		18	week at the Hoffman Estates campus.
19	<b>,</b>	,		19	Are you prepared to discuss those
20				20	topics today?
21				21	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. And
22				22	also, first, I believe you misstated the
23				23	topic. But just to streamline this, we'll
24				24	stipulate that he's prepared to testify on
25				25	all the topics in your notice to the
			Page 6		Page 8
	AOHSIN 1			1	М. МЕСНЈІ
		ess, having been duly		2	extent that those topics were limited in
		ary Public, was examined		3	our March 25, 2019, Debtor's Objection and
	nd testified as			4	Responses to the Community Unit School
5 E	XAMINATIO			5	District 300's Notice of Deposition. So
6	MR. ATKIN			6	we'll just stipulate that he's prepared to
	. Good morn			7	the extent that those topics are limited
	Good morn			8	to here so we can get into what you
		art, if I may, by just		9	actually want to ask him about.
10 1		Notice of Deposition with y		10	MR. ATKINSON: Okay.
L1		MANN: To be clear, this is		11	(Exhibit A, Declaration of Mohsin Y.
		eposition notice. I don't		12	Meghji, marked for identification, as of
		was a Notice of Deposition		13	this date.)
L4 (		dividual, also.		14	BY MR. ATKINSON:
L5		NSON: That's correct, this		15	Q. Next I'd like to provide to you what
	is the $30(b)(6)$ .			16	I've marked as Exhibit A, which is a copy of
		o go through each item		17	your declaration. For the record, the exhibit
		t make sure that we're ready	to	18	includes a full copy of the declaration, but
•	proceed.			19	excludes what is known as tab 2 for Exhibits 1
0 :0	_	nber 1 is to review the		20	through 12, which have the itemized associate
		ormation, and data attached		21	names, and each of those was like 50 or 60
		n your declaration, includin		22	pages and I don't think we'll be going through
		and information and data w		23	those in much detail. So this is all the
		rs or Sears Holdings Manag	·	24	materials except that list of individual
25 (	Corporation, or	old Sears, however you wa	ant to	25	associate names.

Page 9

In re: SEARS HOLDING CHECKE DEPOSITION Transcript Pg 5 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 11

Page 12

1	M	MEGHII

- 2 MR. FRIEDMANN: To the extent that
- you need the full copy, feel free to ask
- 4 for that.
- 5 Q. If I may back up for just a moment,
- 6 you already stated your name, Mr. Meghji. But
- 7 your name and current position?
- 8 A. Mohsin Meghji, I'm the chief
- 9 restructuring officer for Sears Holding Corp.
- 10 Q. I'm not a bankruptcy guy, so what's
- a chief restructuring officer? What are its
- 12 responsibilities?
- 13 A. Essentially I'm responsible for
- 14 running the company and the estate that's left
- 15 now.

1

- 16 Q. I guess like a chief executive
- 17 officer of the remaining estate, or I guess
- 18 how would you -- what's your role and
- 19 responsibilities?
- 20 A. That's a sort of reasonable proxy in
- 21 terms of what needs to be done.
- 22 Q. What's your background and
- 23 experience for holding this position?
- 24 A. I've been in the restructuring
- business for over 25 years, worked with a

#### 1 M. MEGHJI

- 2 A. The state of the administrative
- 3 staff? Can you clarify what you mean by state
- 4 and administrative staff?
- 5 Q. So how many people have either been
- 6 terminated or had resigned, did you have the
- 7 people there that you would expect to have to
- 8 run a corporation like Sears?
- 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. You
- 10 did answer if you can.
- 11 A. Nobody had resigned. I'm not sure
- what you're getting to. The company had
- 13 68,000 employees when I became the chief
- 14 restructuring officer. No one resigned, to my
- 15 knowledge.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. Except just prior to my
- 18 appointment -- or, sorry, concurrent with my
- 19 appointment, the chief executive officer,
- 20 Edward Lampert, resigned, and essentially I
- 21 replaced him as CRO.
- 22 Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to
- 23 refer you specifically to Exhibit A, which is
- your declaration again. I'd like to direct
- your attention to paragraph 7 of your

#### Page 10

- T a
- 2 variety of companies, over 100 companies going
- 3 through a variety of operational, financial
- 4 challenges, where I've either represented
- 5 companies or other stakeholders in that
- 6 process, and I've done numerous chief
- 7 restructuring officer roles.

M. MEGHJI

- 8 Q. When you come into -- we'll use
- 9 Sears as the example. When you come in as a
- 10 chief restructuring officer, at what point in
- 11 time are you brought into Sears's operations?
- 12 With the filing of the bankruptcy or prior to
- 13 that time?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 15 Q. When did you commence working for
- 16 Sears as a chief restructuring officer?
- 17 A. It was approximately a week it to
- ten days before we filed for Chapter 11.
- 19 Q. So it would be early October 2018?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. I guess in that state of the
- 22 organization when you come in, I guess how
- 23 would you describe the state of the
- 24 administrative staff at that point in time?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.

## 1 M. MEGHJI

- 2 declaration. In that paragraph, you declare
- 3 that on November 27, 2017, and January 2,
- 4 2019, at the request of the Village of Hoffman
- 5 Estates, the debtors provided the Village with
- 6 letters regarding the EDA distribution for
- 7 2017, certifying that at no time in 2017 did
- 8 the requisite number of jobs at the Hoffman
- 9 Estates campus dip below the requisite 4,250
- 10 jobs; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. How did the Village make this
- 13 request to the debtors?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 15 A. I believe there was a letter dated
- November 7, 2017, which the November 27, 2017,
- 17 letter was in response to.
- 18 Q. Perfect. I would like to show you
- what I've marked as Exhibit B.
- 20 (Exhibit B, Letter dated November 7,
- 21 2017, marked for identification, as of
- 22 this date.)
- 23 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit B?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Could you briefly describe what it

Page 13

In re: SEARS HOLDING CHRODIL PEROPSITION Transcript Pg 6 of 45 **MOHSIN MEGHJI** 

M. MEGHJI 1

- 2 is?
- 3 A. It's a letter from James Norris to
- John Bredemeier at Sears Holding Corporation.
- 5 Q. Is it accurate to say that Exhibit B
- is, quote, "Requesting certification from
- Sears Holdings that 4,250 jobs were maintained
- at the Sears Holdings campus during the 8
- calendar year 2017?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Your question is 10
- whether or not the letter says that?
- MR. ATKINSON: That is correct. 12
- A. Should I just read what the letter 13
- 14 says?
- 15 Q. You can, or if I read it correctly,
- you can acknowledge that. Whichever you
- 17
- 18 A. It says here the Village is
- requesting that this certification be
- returned --20
- MR. FRIEDMANN: If you're going to
- read, you've got to read. You can read to 22
- 23 yourself or you've got to read clearly.
- 24 A. It says, "Pursuant to Public Act
- 097-0636, the Village of Hoffman Estates is

- M. MEGHJI 1
- 2017 did the number of jobs dip below the 2
- requisite 4,250 jobs."
- Q. Is it fair to say that Exhibit B
- requesting jobs at the Hoffman Estates campus

April 4, 2019

Page 15

Page 16

- for calendar year 2017?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 7
- A. Sorry. Can you reread the question?
- Q. Sure. Is it fair to say or would
- you say that Exhibit B from the Village of 10
- Hoffman Estates is requesting a certification 11
- regarding the number of jobs at the Hoffman 12
- Estates campus for Sears for calendar year 13
- 2017? 14
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry. Your answer is?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Exhibit 15, which is the response
- from Sears to Exhibit B, would you say that it 20
- is fair that the letter is providing a 21
- certification as to the number of jobs at the
- Sears Hoffman Estates campus for calendar year 23
- 2017? 24
- 25 A. I believe so.

Page 14

- requesting certification from Sears Holdings that 4,250 jobs were maintained at the Sears
- Holdings corporation campus during calendar
- year 2017."

1

M. MEGHJI

- 6 Q. At this time I'd like to refer you
- to Exhibit A, and this will be Exhibit 15 to
- Exhibit A. Do you recognize that exhibit,
- Exhibit 15?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 12 A. It's a letter from Mr. Bredemeier to
- the Village. 13
- 14 O. Who is Mr. Bredemeier?
- 15 A. He was a senior director of real
- estate and corporate services.
- 17 Q. Could you read the first paragraph
- of that letter, please?
- 19 A. "Thank you for your letter dated
- November 7, 2017, regarding the EDA 20
- 21 distribution for 2017. Please be advised that
- pursuant to Public Act 097-0636, as of the 22
- date of this letter, over 4,250 jobs exist at 23
- the Sears Holdings campus in Hoffman estates.
- Please be further advised that at no time in

- M. MEGHJI
- 2 Q. Referring you back to paragraph 7 of
- Exhibit A, you indicate that there's a second
- letter that was sent to the Village of Hoffman
- Estates certifying 2017 employment figures; is
- that correct?
- 7 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
- question?
- 9 Q. Of course. In paragraph 7 of your
- 10 declaration, which is Exhibit A, you indicate
- that a second letter was sent from Sears to 11
- the Village of Hoffman Estates to certify the 12
- number of employees for 2017; is that correct? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. I'd like to refer you to Exhibit 16
- of Exhibit A. Do you recognize Exhibit 16?
- 17 A. Yes. This is a letter from Steve
- Sidley. 18
- Q. And this is the letter, again, 19
- 20 certifying the 2017 jobs figures?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 21
- 22 A. This is a letter in response to the
- November 14, 2018, letter. 23
- 24 Q. Would you mind reading the first
- paragraph?

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.	script Pg 7 of 45 MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019
Page 17	
1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. "Thank you for your November 14, 3 2018, letter regarding the upcoming 2018 EDA 4 distribution of 2017 taxes. Please be advised 5 that pursuant to Public Act 097-0636, the Act, 6 Sears Holding Corporation, the developer, was 7 in compliance with the job's requirement of 8 the act for the entire 2017-calendar year 9 based on our records. At no time during 10 calendar year 2017 were the number of jobs 11 fewer than 4,250 jobs." 12 Q. Thank you. 13 (Exhibit C, Letter dated November 14, 14 2018, marked for identification, as of 15 this date.) 16 Q. I'd like to show you what I've 17 marked as Exhibit C. Do you recognize 18 Exhibit C? 19 A. I've seen this letter before, yes. 20 Q. Is this the November 14, 2018, 21 letter that is referenced in Exhibit 16? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Would you mind reading the first 24 paragraph of that letter from the Village of 25 Hoffman Estates?	1 M. MEGHJI 2 is the Sears response to the Village of 3 Hoffman Estates, to its letter of November 14, 4 2018, would you say that Exhibit 16 is 5 responsive to the Village's request? 6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 7 A. I don't know the details of what's 8 responsive and what's not. I've read the two 9 letters and I think what I see in the Sears 10 letter is a response relating to the 2018 EDA 11 distribution for 2017 taxes. 12 Q. But is that what the Village of 13 Hoffman Estates was asking for? 14 A. I think the November 14th letter was 15 asking for the number of jobs during calendar 16 year 2018. 17 Q. And what response did Sears give to 18 that request? 19 A. It certified that in '17, jobs did 20 not go below 4,250. 21 Q. For what reason did Sears certify 22 2017 jobs in response to a request from the 23 Village for 2018 jobs? 24 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 25 A. I don't know.
Page 18	Page 20
1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. "Pursuant to Public Act 097-0636, 3 the Village of Hoffman Estates is requesting 4 certification of Sears Holdings that 4,250 5 jobs were maintained at the Sears Holding 6 Corporation campus during the calendar year 7 2018. Further, if there is any time frame 8 during 2018 that the number of jobs maintained 9 by the developer fell below 4,250, please 10 advise of the time frames in question. The	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Who would know the answer to that</li> <li>question?</li> <li>A. I assume Mr. Sidley or just some</li> <li>other people that had looked at this at the</li> <li>time.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why Sears would have</li> <li>responded by again certifying the 2017 figures</li> <li>instead of simply providing the certification</li> </ol>

- advise of the time frames in question. The
- 11 Village is requesting that this certification
- be returned to the Village no later than 12
- December 1, 2018, so that the president and 13
- the board of trustees can process the
- distribution of EDA funds."
- 16 Q. Is it fair to say that Exhibit C --
- that in Exhibit C, the Village of Hoffman 17
- Estates is asking for certification for the 18
- number of jobs at the Hoffman Estates campus 19
- for Sears for calendar year 2018? 20
- 21 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Misstates what the 23
- document says.
- 25 Q. Would you say that Exhibit 16, which

- 10 from 2017?
- 11 A. My understanding of this is that the
- relevant data for the 2017 taxes getting
- refunded, or rebated back in 2018, was the
- 2017 employment numbers, not the 2018 numbers.
- 15 Q. Where did that understanding come
- from? 16
- 17 A. From discussions with a couple of
- people at the company, as well as our counsel.
- 19 Q. Did you know whether that was
- consistent with prior practice between Sears
- and the Village of Hoffman Estates?
- 22 A. I believe it was, but again, I think
- you should speak to my counsel on that.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I relied on my counsel.

Page 21

In re: SEARS HOLDING CMARCHIL PEROPSITION Transcript Pg 8 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 23

Page 24

1		M. MEGHJI
2	$\cap$	If I may take

Q. If I may take you back just for a

- moment, back to Exhibit 15, we discussed
- earlier that Exhibit 15 -- in Exhibit 15, that 4
- Sears certified that at no time during 2017,
- calendar year 2017, that at no time did the 6
- requisite number of jobs dip below 4,250 jobs; 7
- is that correct? 8
- 9 A. Yes, we did.
- 10 Q. Looking again briefly at Exhibit B,
- which is the letter of Hoffman Estates to
- Sears in 2017, I believe we covered that 12
- Sears -- excuse me, that the Village in that 13
- letter requested jobs figures for calendar
- year 2017; is that correct? 15
- 16 A. That's what it looks like, yes.
- 17 Q. So is it fair to say that for 2017,
- that the Village asked for '17 figures and
- Sears provided '17 figures?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 20
- 21 A. That's what it looks like, but I
- don't draw any conclusion or correlation or
- 23 extrapolation from that to the other thing,
- which is seemingly what you're trying to do.
- 25 Q. Do you have any reason to think that

- M. MEGHJI 1
  - 2 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
  - 3 Q. Of course. In your declaration, you
  - generally -- I should say repeatedly refer to
  - what are called Sears Holdings Corp. associate
  - count-EDGE, or Economic Development For a 6
  - Growing Economy reports, to declare what the 7
  - number of employees were at Hoffman Estates 8
  - 9 for Sears: is that correct?
  - MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10
  - 11 A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you tell me what is an EDA 12
  - 13 qualifying employee, as you used that term in
  - your declaration? 14
  - MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 15
  - 16 A. Yes. An EDA qualifying -- let me
  - just take a quick look here, if you don't 17
  - mind. 18
  - 19 Essentially, for EDA certification,
  - Sears counted full time equivalent employees 20
  - 21 of contractors, tenant companies and building
  - contractors, as well as full-time Sears 22
  - employees located at Hoffman Estates, or 23
  - resident at Hoffman Estates. 24
  - 25 Q. Okay. Is there a difference between

Page 22

- M. MEGHJI 1 this is wrong? 2
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 3
- What do you refer to as --
- 5 A. This, what is this?
- 6 Q. This in terms of the letter from
- Hoffman requesting '17 and Sears replying back
- with '17 jobs figures.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 9
- 10 A. I have no idea.
- 11 Q. Who would know?
- 12 A. I assume Mr. Bredemeier, who
- responded. 13
- 14 Q. Do you know whether he's still
- employed by Sears?
- 16 A. I don't think he is.
- 17 Q. So generally speaking, your
- declaration, as I understand it, your 18
- declaration relies on what you describe as 19
- Sears Holdings Corp. manage -- excuse me, 20
- 21 Sears Holdings Corp. associate count or EDGE
- reports to determine the number of Sears
- employees within the Economic Development Area 23
- 24 in Hoffman Estates; is that correct?
- 25 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.

- M. MEGHJI 1
- an employee's residence and an employee's 2
- 3
- 4 A. For me, it's essentially where the
- work -- when I refer to residence at Hoffman
- Estates, what I mean is from a work
- standpoint, their office is based in Hoffman
- Estates. I'm not talking about their home
- residence. 9
- 10 Q. Okay. And then generally speaking,
- how do you know the number of these employees
- that are EDA qualifying employees? 12
- 13 A. How do I know that?
- Q. Yes. 14
- A. Well, as indicated in my 15
- declaration, there were reports generated by 16
- individuals during 2017. The two individuals 17
- 18 were Amita Agarwal, A-M-I-T-A, A-G-A-R-W-A-L,
- who was a member of Sears SG&A team, who took 19
- count of all the full-time Sears employees 20
- resident at Hoffman. And then Jennifer 21
- Mendoza, who was a manager of business 22
- process, operations integration, who collected 23 based on sort of badge count data tracked of 24
- 25 employees, whether it was contractors,

Page 25

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Pg 9 of 45

MOHS	SIN	M	E	GH	JΙ
	Ap	ril	4,	20	19

Page 27

Page 28

M	. MEGHJI	

- 2 building contractors, or tenant employees,
- 3 that were part of Hoffman Estates.
- 4 Q. How do you know that each of these
- 5 employees worked 35 hours or more per week?
- 6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 7 Q. I guess we could break it out.
- 8 We'll start with Sears and then we'll go to
- 9 the tenants and contractors.
- So for Sears employees, how did you
- 11 know that those individuals or how do you know
- 12 that those individuals --
- 13 A. So in all cases, the methodology
- that I understand was used to derive these
- 15 numbers was only to include people that worked
- more than 35 hours. So anybody that worked
- 17 less than 35 hours were excluded from this
- 18 count. And the company had a way of tracking
- 19 that through badge out and when people came in
- 20 and out.

1

1

- 21 Q. So let me focus just on Sears
- 22 employees for the moment. So would you
- 23 count -- in terms of determining if someone
- worked 35 hours a week or more, did you rely
- on pay records, whether they're salaried or

#### 1 M. MEGHJI

- 2 towards the number of employees at Hoffman.
- 3 How did Sears determine the number of those
- 4 employees and whether they worked 35 hours or
- 5 more per week?
- 6 A. So essentially the way it was done
- 7 by Jennifer Mendoza and Sears's real estate
- 8 department in collecting this, was that they
- 9 looked at sort of total badge data, people
- 10 coming in and out sort of daily in '17, and
- 11 from that total badge count Ms. Agarwal and
- 12 Ms. Mendoza removed the number of badges held
- by part-time employees, which they knew, all
- 14 former employees, which they also knew,
- 15 employees based somewhere other than Hoffman
- 16 Estates, and all employees of Sears entities
- who were already counted using the kind of
- 18 EDGE reports.
- 19 Q. So I guess let me take a step back.
- 20 So the Hoffman Estates campus, there are -- it
- 21 appears there are a number of tenants who are
- 22 not owned by Sears, they're separate third
- party entities. Do you know who those tenants
- 24 are?
- 25 A. Sears knows who they are, yes.

#### Page 26

- M. MEGHJI
- 2 just -- you mentioned badge counts. How did3 Sears make that determination that these
- 4 individuals are actually working 35 hours or
- 5 more per week?
- 6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. You
- 7 can answer if you can.
- 8 A. We're talking about full-time
- 9 employees now. Sears employees who were
- 10 full-time employees.
- 11 Q. Or just any Sears employees that
- 12 you're counting as full time.
- 13 A. I think Sears tracks through what
- 14 they pay people and their payroll records and
- personnel records who is full time and who's
- 16 not.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I don't know any technicalities
- beyond that, but it would be absurd to me that
- 20 they didn't know who was full time and who was
- 21 not
- 22 Q. Now looking at the other group, so
- we have tenants that are purportedly being
- 24 counted -- or I should say employees of
- tenants that are purportedly being counted

#### 1 M. MEGHJI

- 2 MR. FRIEDMANN: You're asking who
- 3 they are now or who they were in 2017 for
- 4 the record?
- 5 MR. ATKINSON: For purposes we'll
- 6 talk about '17 at this point in time.
- 7 A. Yes, the company knew who they were.
- 8 Q. Is there a record of who they were?
- 9 At this point that information hasn't been
- shared with us in terms of our document
- 11 production.
- 12 A. I'm sure there is, yes.
- 13 Q. Do you have knowledge I guess
- 14 generally about who these contractors are, in
- terms of food vendors or --
- 16 A. You talked about tenants and you
- 17 moved into contractors. Which are you talking
- 18 about?
- 19 Q. I'm sorry. We're going to stick
- 20 with tenants for now.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. So can you generally describe the
- 23 type of tenants that worked at the corporate
- 24 headquarters.
- 25 A. So Sears Hometown stores, which is a

In re: SEARS HOLDING MAGNIR PROSITION Transcript Pg 10 of 45 **MOHSIN MEGHJI** April 4, 2019 Page 29 Page 31 M. MEGHJI M. MEGHJI 1 1 separate public company, is located on the Q. Now let's move on to contractors at Hoffman Estates campus. So that would be one. the Hoffman Estates headquarters. Can you There's a bunch of full-time employees. And describe what type of contractors, during then there are places like, I'll use Jared's 2017, just to be precise, what types of favorite example, Sbarro, which had a place contractors were at the Hoffman Estates 6 6 there. So there's a coffee shop, there's campus? 7 various other sort of smaller -- that I could A. I believe there were two main kinds think of. There might be one or two others 9 of contractors. There were a bunch of that I can't think of. building related contractors, because it's a 10 10 11 O. And how would Sears know the number 11 massive campus, so there was building activity of employees that each of those tenants had and maintenance and renovations going on. And 12 for purposes of recording -then the second is the company also used 13 14 A. Because they had badges to go in and third-party contractors for various functions, 14 out of the building, which was tracked by our and many of these worked on a full-time basis 15 real estate department. Security's tight. or at least over 35 hours for Sears. 16 17 Q. So I guess logistically speaking, to Q. And would these contractors -- I 17 get into the Hoffman Estates campus, you have should say either contractors or employees of 18 to scan a badge to get in? the contractors, would they have been 19 20 A. Yes. subjected to the same badge requirement as 20 21 Q. And everyone has to scan a badge? everyone else? 21 22 A. Absolutely everyone. 22 A. Yes. There was a separate 23 Q. Okay. So we established that the contractor badge. 23 employees of tenants, they swipe a badge to Q. And you counted them -- I shouldn't 24 get in. How does Sears know that those say you. Sears counted them based on the Page 30 Page 32 M. MEGHJI 1 M. MEGHJI 1 employees are working 35 hours a week? badge entries or the number of badges? I 2 2 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. You guess which one? 3 can answer if you can. 4 4 A. Sears counted them. I don't know A. Sears tracks what they pay people, the mechanics of how, but Sears had a way of 5 in terms of payroll. So that's how. distinguishing between people who worked full Q. Let me back up. I'm not talking time versus part time. Just as they had for about Sears employees, I'm talking about the their employees, they did for their contractors, employees of the tenants. So how would Sears as well. 9 know how many hours the employees of the 10 10 Q. So, just to be clear, you don't have tenants were working? 11 personal knowledge, again, as to how these 11 12 A. Again, I was not involved in the counts were actually derived? 12 sort of day-to-day kind of negotiations or A. I don't. anything. But my understanding of this today 14 14 THE WITNESS: You can feel free to is Sears has -- gets an indication of how many 15 15 iump in here, too. 16 badges it's issued and how many of those are MR. FRIEDMANN: He can't. The 16 17 full time badges versus, you know, kind of --17

if people aren't resident there and working 18

full time, then they would get a part time 19

badge. So there's different badges that are 20

21 assigned, is my understanding.

22 Q. So you have no personal knowledge as

to how those numbers are tracked?

24 A. No, I have not been involved in the

badge management process.

questioning is one person at a time. It's

not an appellate bench. 18

MR. ATKINSON: It's not a team 19

sport, right? 20

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 21

Q. Could you -- one of the references 22

in here is to what's called an OTB contractor. 23

Could you tell me what that is? It wasn't 24

25 clear to me from the documents what that was. 18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 Exhibit C -

In re: SEARS HOLDING CHECK APPOSITION Transcript Pg 11 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI

Page 33 Page 35 M. MEGHJI M. MEGHJI 1 1 2 A. I've been trying to figure that out, 2 A. I think it's -- you said December, honestly. I think it's some initial, but it's but I think this is -really, my understanding, it's tied to the --4 Q. I apologize. those are some of the building related 5 A. -- January 31st. If you look at the contractors. But I don't remember exactly top of the -- top left corner, active salary, 6 what OTB stands if are. full time only, location Illinois, work state 7 8 Q. We were hoping it was Off Track Illinois, etcetera. So it's basically the Betting. full-time employees that work in Illinois. 9 10 A. That was our best guess. Believe it 10 Q. It says the criteria at the top or not, I asked the question half an hour left-hand corner, it says criteria as of before I walked in here, but I couldn't get 12 1/31/2017. the answer quickly enough. 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. At this point I'd like to go through 14 Q. I guess would that mean the number each of the individual exhibits month by of people that received a paycheck month. This is going to become a little January 31st, at the end of the month? 16 16 17 repetitive, and I apologize for that, so we'll 17 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. try to make it as painless as possible. 18 A. My understanding, this is a summary 18 MR. FRIEDMANN: Keep in mind these of the full-time employees who I presume 19 19 are not full exhibits, these are the I 20 20 weren't working for free, so received a 21 guess partial exhibits that you attached, paycheck. correct? 22 Q. During the month of January 2017? 22 MR. ATKINSON: That's correct. 23 A. Correct, ves. 23 24 Q. And then just for added fun, we'll 24 Q. And I think you disclosed this a bounce back and forth between your declaration little bit earlier, but do you know who Page 34 Page 36 M. MEGHJI M. MEGHJI 1 and the exhibits. actually prepared Exhibit 1, which is the 3 A. Okay. January 31, 2017? 4 Q. But I put some handy tabs on there, 4 A. I don't know who did it, no. so you should be able to find the exhibits 5 Q. Do you know who may know the answer really fast. to that question? So directing your attention to 7 A. The person who prepared it. I paragraph 8 of Exhibit A, which is your don't. declaration, you state that, on Exhibit 1, you 9 Q. Okay. 10 have a true and correct copy of the, for 10 A. It looks like it came out of the shorthand I'm going to call it the EDGE company's payroll systems and PeopleSoft 11 report. If I use that term, you'll know what systems. I'm talking about? 13 Q. Do you know what person or persons 14 A. Yes. provided data or support for preparing 15 Q. As of January 31, 2017, and that Exhibit 1? 15 that report indicates that there were 4,411 16 A. No. The company has a big payroll Sears full-time employees located within the department with lots of people that work 17 17 Illinois Hoffman Estates and Chicago on that there. I'm sure we can find out who that is, 18 date; is that correct? 19 19 but I don't know. 20 A. Correct. 20 Q. Was this prepared as part of this 21 Q. We talked about this a few moments bankruptcy litigation? 21 ago. What does this number actually 22 A. I don't know if it was there before represent? Is it payroll as of December 31, 23 or it exists -- if it was specifically done 23 2017, or is it something else? 24 24 25 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 25 Q. Do you know who would know that

April 4, 2019

Page 37

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 39

Page 40

1		M.	MEC	ЗH	JΙ
2	a	nswe	er?		
		_		_	

- 3 A. Somebody in the company's payroll
- 4 department. Presumably the head of HR or
- 5 somebody else.
- 6 Q. Referring back to paragraph 8 of
- 7 your declaration, towards the middle of the
- 8 paragraph, you say the second tab of Exhibit 1
- 9 lists a location city for each employee and
- that 55 of the employees were located in
- 11 Chicago; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So of the total of 4,411 employees
- that are included in Exhibit 1, to determine
- the number of Sears employees located at
- 16 Hoffman Estates, we would need to subtract 55
- 17 from 4,411?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And do you think that number would
- be 4,356 employees?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 22 A. That number is 4,356.

M. MEGHJI

- 23 Q. Okay. And the identities of those
- individuals who are Sears employees during
- January 2017, those individual employees are

- M. MEGHJI
  - swipes as employees, whether from Sears or a
- 3 tenant or a contractor, it would be the number
- 4 of people who are actually coming in and out
- 5 of the door?
- 6 A. Again, just -- I haven't
- 7 investigated this, but that's my guess.
- 8 MR. FRIEDMANN: Do me a favor, don't
- 9 guess today. They just want facts. They
- can't go into court on guesses. Give them
- 11 facts, facts, and only facts. If you know
- the answer, answer.
- 13 A. I don't know the specifics of how
- 14 that's done.
- 15 Q. Further down column A, line 12, do
- 16 you know what an active badge is?
- 17 A. Yes. An active badge is a badge
- that's valid and sort of used by current
- 19 employee or contractor.
- 20 Q. And then if I may, going up to the
- very top, this is column A, line 1, it says
- 22 Monthly Averages. I guess what do these
- 23 numbers represent in terms of what's being
- 24 reported for each month?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.

Page 38

- . .
- 2 disclosed in Exhibit 1, not in the version
- 3 that is in front of you now, but the form that
- 4 was tendered to us listed all 4,000-plus
- 5 employees?
- 6 A. Correct.

1

- 7 Q. Referring you back to paragraph 8 of
- 8 your declaration, you state that there's an
- 9 occupancy data report transmitted by Jennifer
- 10 Mendoza that indicates the number of tenant
- employees and contractors in January 2017; is
- 12 that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I'd like to refer you to Exhibit 13,
- and if I may, I'd like to go through this in
- 16 some detail. First I'm going to start in
- 17 column A and work my way down. First, can you
- 18 define what an occupant entry is?
- 19 A. I'm sorry. Where do you see that?
- 20 Q. It is in column A, line 3.
- 21 A. Yes. Again, reading it, it looks
- 22 like it's sort of categories of when people
- get in to the building, 6 to 11 a.m. sort of
- 24 time frame.
- 25 Q. So these would be like the actual

- 1 M. MEGHJI
- 2 A. Monthly averages of badge counts is
- 3 what I think this is.
- 4 Q. You report that Jennifer Mendoza --
- 5 let me back up.
- 6 So Jennifer Mendoza e-mailed this
- 7 report to various persons inside Sears; is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. Yes. My understanding is she
- 10 prepared this.
- 11 Q. Do you know if she actually prepared
- the occupancy report or did she just e-mail
- 13 it?
- 14 A. I don't know. My understanding was
- that she was at the time the person kind of
- pulling this data together. She may have
- 17 gotten information from others.
- 18 Q. Do you know if she's still employed
- 19 by Sears?
- 20 A. She's not.
- 21 Q. Do you know when she departed?
- 22 A. I don't.
- 23 Q. So in paragraph 8 of your
- 24 declaration, you indicate that as of
- January 2017, there were 1,512 tenant

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Pg 13 of 45

**MOHSIN MEGHJI** April 4, 2019

	7хри ч, 201
Page 4	Page 43
1 М. МЕ <b>G</b> HJI	1 M. MEGHJI
2 employees and contractors; is that correct?	2 are employee badges. But again, I don't know.
3 A. Yes.	3 Q. Does Exhibit 13 report for January
4 Q. Referring back to Exhibit 13, can	4 of 2017 that there were 4681 active associate
5 you show me where that number, the 1,512, is	5 badges?
6 coming from?	6 A. That's what I read. It says 4,681
7 A. Yes. If I may use my so it's	7 in that column for January.
8 if you look under the column AA for	8 Q. Okay. And for January 2017, does
9 January 2017, the three lines that it	9 the figure of active associate badges, 4,681,
aggregates to get to the 1,512 number are the	10 exceed the number of full-time employees
contractor badge line, which is line 14;	
	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
contractor badges, which is line 18. The	13 A. Does the 4,681 exceed the 4,411?
numbers for January 2017 are 668 plus 649 plus	14 Q. Or the 4,411 minus 55.
15 195, which adds up to 1,512.	15 A. 4,681 mathematically is higher than
16 Q. The figures that you just provided	16 4,356.
us, those are the number of active badges for	MR. FRIEDMANN: It's a good thing we
18 those different classification of persons?	18 had this deposition today.
19 A. Correct.	19 A. I just want to confirm that.
20 Q. Do you know the identities of the	20 MR. FRIEDMANN: You need a
21 individuals who held those active badges in	21 calculator, by the way, to confirm that?
22 January 2017?	22 THE WITNESS: No.
23 A. Do I know them? No.	MR. ATKINSON: That answers my
24 Q. Does Sears know them?	24 question.
25 A. It should.	25 Q. So Exhibit 13, again I'm going to
Page 42	Page 44
1 М. МЕСНЈІ	1 M. MEGHJI
2 Q. How do you know that Sears knows or	2 refer you up to column AA, line 6, which is
3 would know the identity of the individuals	3 the daily associate counts from 6:00, I
4 holding badges in January of 2017?	
5 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	
6 Misstates prior testimony.	5 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. I
	6 don't know where you're assuming that
7 A. I'm presuming that when the badges	7 from.
8 are issued, they keep a record of who received	8 MR. ATKINSON: That's fine. We'll
9 the badges and who uses them. So I'm	9 back up.
presuming that there's a record of all that,	10 Q. So I'm going to refer you to column
or certainly that there was a record of that	11 AA, line 6, which purports to be the daily
12 in '17 when this was done.	12 associate counts from 6:00-11:00 for January
13 Q. Okay. But sitting here today, you	13 2017. Is that number 2,139?
14 don't have personal knowledge?	14 A. That's what I read.
15 A. No.	15 Q. What would you understand associate
16 Q. Referring to Exhibit 13, I'm going	16 counts to mean for that number?
to refer you to column AA, row 13. That	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
18 reports the number of associate badges; is	18 A. I don't know. I don't know what
19 that correct?	19 that means.
20 A. That's what it says.	20 Q. Well, what would an associate be?
21 Q. And how would you understand what an	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
22 associate badge means, or what would you	22 A. Sears, as I said earlier, refers to
22 understand that to man?	Landing the constitution of the constitution o

23 understand that to mean?

24 A. Sears typically refers to its

employees as associates, so I presume those

they're employees.

25 Q. And so, to make sure I'm

23 its employees as associates, so I presume

In re: SEARS HOLDING MAGNIF DEPOSITION Transcript Pg 14 of 45 MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019 Page 45 Page 47 M. MEGHJI 1 M. MEGHJI 1 understanding this correctly, then, Exhibit 13 going to refer you to column AA, line 5. This is reporting that for January 2017, the row is designated Daily Contractor Swipes 3 monthly average of the daily associate count 4 4 6:00-11:00 a.m. I think I'm safe in assuming was 2,139? 5 that means 6:00 to 11:00 in the morning. Is 5 A. I don't know. 6 6 it correct that the number of contractor MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. swipes -- excuse me, daily contractor swipes 7 8 A. I've not studied what that section for January 2017 is 446? or line is, nor have I spoken to anybody about MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 9 this. A. I think you read that just as I 10 10 11 Q. Well, you rely on Exhibit 13 to give would read it, but I have not done any work to 11 us a number of the employees for tenants, 12 confirm that your reading of it is the full 12 contractors -- they could be contractors, story. I just have not looked into that 13 whatever they are; is that correct? 14 column. As far as this exhibit is concerned, 15 A. As I explained to you, I relied on I had focused on the contractor badges, the 15 this to look at the contractor badges, the tenant badges, and the OTB contractor badges 16 17 tenant badges, and the OTB contractor badges 17 as data that the company used to report the to confirm -- look at the backup of how the 18 number of people that worked on campus at 18 total contractors and tenant numbers were 19 Hoffman Estates in '17. counted for each month. But I did not look at 20 20 Q. Do you think due diligence would the associate part of this, nor reconcile it 21 require Sears, in reporting the number of to anything else. That's all I'm saying. 22 employ eyes working 35 hours or more per week 22 23 Q. Okay. Now, earlier when we were at Hoffman Estates, to analyze some data 23 talking about the EDGE reports and the number 24 indicating whether people are actually at 25 of Sears employees and we were talking about Hoffman Estates? 25 Page 46 Page 48 M. MEGHJI 1 1 M. MEGHJI badges and swiping in and out, I understood 2 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. from your testimony that Sears was counting 3 A. Go again. Do I think in general the people coming in and out. Is that correct 4 people should do due diligence on things in 5 or no? 5 life or --6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. Q. Specifically in this instance, do A. I don't understand what you mean. you think Sears, in exercising due diligence, Q. I'll take a step back. So in certifying a number in order to obtain 10 hypothetically speaking, we could have 100 to \$12 million in property tax incentives of 9 people with active badges, and 50 of them 10 public dollars, should Sears have exercised 11 actually come in and out of the gate every some level of due diligence to indicate not 11

- 12 day. So you've given us active badge numbers,
- and it sounds like the EDGE report counts 13
- people who are actually paid by Sears during 14
- the relevant time frame. My question to you 15
- 16 is whether there is a difference between the
- 17 number of active badges for Sears associates
- 18 and the number of daily swipes for Sears
- 19 associates?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 20
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 O. Who would know?
- 23 A. Some combination of Sears HR and
- real estate team.
- 25 Q. Again looking at Exhibit 13, I'm

- merely that someone had a badge, but that they 12
- 13
  - actually worked there for 35 hours or more per
- week? 14
- 15 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
  - A. I presume they did. I think Sears
- was a responsible public company that took its 17
- 18 responsibilities seriously. I wasn't employed
- at Sears in 2017, but everything I've seen 19
- 20 about how they operate, I see them having
- exercised diligence generally on anything they 21
- report to, and this would be no different. 22
- Q. So in preparing for today and 23
- 24 relying on Exhibit 13 as part of Sears's
- effort to obtain roughly \$10 million in 25

	Page 49		Page 51
١,	М. МЕСНЈІ		M MECHII
1	property tax dollars, are you saying that you	1	
3	did not fully review and understand all the	2	
4	components in Exhibit 13?	3	
5	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	-	
		5	
6		6	J ,
7	at the relevant components, which is lines 14, 17, and 18, and I was comfortable in	7	
8	·	8	C
9	understanding how the company had reported stuff. Don't put words in my mouth.	9	occupant entries? A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. Would you say that the occupant		
11	entries, then, are not relevant to determining	11	
13	Sears employee counts at its headquarters?	12	
14	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	13	1 1
15	A. I did not look at them. I didn't	14	· · · · · ·
16	say that they're not relevant. I simply	16	A. So what's your point?  Q. I'm just asking you whether that
17	reported to you that we had how the company	17	number is 446. That this report that you rely
18	had reported on its full-time employees and	18	on is telling us that as of January 2017, the
19	how it reported on its contractors and tenant	19	number of daily contractor swipes is 446.
20	count.	20	
21	Q. So Sears again certified 17	21	not looked at that section of this report,
22	employment figures in January of 2019; is that	22	I've not relied on it. I don't know what
23	correct?	23	people came in after 11:00 or before or how
24	A. It is correct.	24	that reconciles to any of this
25	Q. In doing that certification, which	l	Q. That's not what I'm asking
"	(		Q. That is not what I'm asking
1			
	Page 50		Page 52
	·		Page 52
1	М. МЕСНЈІ	1	М. МЕСНЈІ
2	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what	2	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish.
2 3	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me	2	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay.
2 3 4	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back.	2 3 4	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor
2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine	2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the	2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification?	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Is it possible that there's an error	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate way to count what those numbers are and should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Is it possible that there's an error in what respect?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate way to count what those numbers are and should be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Is it possible that there's an error in what respect? Q. Let's take a step back. Let's look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate way to count what those numbers are and should be. Q. Are you able to identify those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Is it possible that there's an error in what respect? Q. Let's take a step back. Let's look at Exhibit 13 as a specific example. So you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate way to count what those numbers are and should be. Q. Are you able to identify those people?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back. What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification? A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected. Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Is it possible that there's an error in what respect? Q. Let's take a step back. Let's look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate way to count what those numbers are and should be. Q. Are you able to identify those people?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI is after the bankruptcy filing date, what steps of due diligence were taken let me take a step back.  What steps were taken to determine how to count employees for purposes of the January certification?  A. Again, I was not transmittal involved in the process, but our general counsel, Steve Sidley, would have confirmed what he needed to confirm to certify that it was accurate. That's what I would have expected.  Q. Is it possible there would be an error in the numbers if there was not a reconciliation between the number of badges and the number of entries?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.  A. Is it possible that there's an error in what respect?  Q. Let's take a step back. Let's look at Exhibit 13 as a specific example. So you relied on Exhibit 13 to tell you that there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI A. Let me finish. Q. Okay. A. So connection between the contractor badge number and that, I really don't know what that is. I'd need to go back and investigate that to see how those two correlate. Q. Do you think that would be something that would be important to understand before making a certification for \$10 million?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. My understanding, based on discussions I've had with people at the company that are closest to this that remain there, is that relying on the contractor badge numbers and the tenant badges and the OTB contractor badges line is a sort of accurate way to count what those numbers are and should be. Q. Are you able to identify those people?

In re: SEARS HOLDING CHRODIK PAPOSITION Transcript Pg 16 of 45 MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019 Page 53 Page 55 1 M. MEGHJI 1 M. MEGHJI of government affairs, who was sort of there occupant entries that are reported, which I 2 through this -- she didn't do this, but some understand that you did not rely on and you're 3 of the information that was collected was 4 not prepared to discuss, there is not a row 4 reported through her. 5 there that indicates the number of daily MR. FRIEDMANN: Good time for a 6 entries for tenants; is that correct? 6 break? We've been going for over an hour. 7 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 7 MR. ATKINSON: No objection. 8 A. There are only three rows there, 8 (Recess was taken.) 9 9 correct. MR. ATKINSON: I did not mark the 10 10 Q. And those are the total, the Notice of Deposition as an exhibit, so I'm 11 contractor, and the associates? just going to mark it as Exhibit D, just 12 12 A. I don't know whether the first one for clarity. We reviewed that at the very includes tenants or not. I really don't know. 13 beginning of the deposition. 14 14 Q. We're almost done with Exhibit 13. 15 (Exhibit D, Notice of Deposition, So at the bottom left-hand side of Exhibit 13, 15 marked for identification, as of this 16 there's a section that's called Jennifer's 16 17 date.) Notes. This is column A, line 30. Do you see 17 BY MR. ATKINSON: 18 18 that? 19 Q. Referring back to Exhibit 13, 19 A. Yes. referring you to the top left-hand corner, the 20 Q. Row 34, it states, "July 2016 description of occupant entries, is it Associate Badge Count - Noticing several 21 22 accurate to say that this report does not 22 people with multiple badges. I was able to indicate the daily occupant entries for tenant 23 visually scan the list to delete those that I 23 employees during January 2017? 24 recognized as duplicate = 22. Additional 24 25 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 25 duplicate badges removed." Do you see that? Page 54 Page 56 M. MEGHJI 1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Look, I don't know what else this 2 A. Yes. report does or doesn't. All I can tell you is 3 Q. Did I read that correctly? what I've done in -- what was sort of

- explained and reported to me in terms of how
- far the company had calculated the contractor,
- tenant, and OTB contractor numbers in here.
- 8 Q. Okav.
- 9 A. So I think we could stop debating
- 10 all the other elements of this. I just -- I
- wasn't prepared to do it. 11
- MR. FRIEDMANN: He's entitled to ask 12
- you whatever he wants. You've just got to 13
- 14 answer the questions, whether they're
- relevant or not. Let him ask. 15
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 17 Q. So I guess who told you that that
- was the information in this report to rely on
- for making your declaration?
- 20 A. It was in discussions with my
- 21 counsel, as well as Misty Redman, who is the
- 22 VP of government affairs.
- 23 Q. My final question in terms of the
- 24 nitty-gritty of this table, I think it's clear
- from the document itself, but in terms of the

- 4 A. I believe so.
- 5 Q. Does that language give you any
- pause or concern regarding the numbers that
- 7 are recorded in Exhibit 13?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 8
- A. No. I don't know what that means in
- 10 detail. It seems to be something to do with
- July 2016. 11
- Q. Do you know what controls, if any, 12
- were used to ensure that duplicate badges were
- not included? 14
- A. Not firsthand, I don't know.
- Q. In terms of preparing this report,
- do you know what steps, other than Jennifer's 17
- visual scan, were used to determine whether 18
- there were duplicate badges? 19
- 20 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- A. I don't know anything about this 21
- topic in terms of -- and I've never spoken to 22
- Jennifer about it. 23
- 24 Q. Then in column A, line 33, again
- this is under Jennifer's notes, it says -- do

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.	cript Pg 17 of 45  MOHSIN MEGH April 4, 20
Page 57	
1 M. MEGHJI 2 you see where I'm referring here? Column A, 3 line 33. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. It says, "Per Chuck Jones, we're not 6 getting counts now due to IT changing servers 7 and then the vendor changed servers. I 8 believe iVisitor is getting updated, but we 9 don't know for sure since we are not getting 10 the daily update counts. Debbie I believe is 11 looking into it." Did I read that correctly? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Do you know who Chuck Jones is? 14 A. I don't. 15 Q. Do you know who Debbie is? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Does Jennifer's note at column A, 18 line 33, give you any concern as to the 19 quality of the numbers being reported here? 10 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 11 A. No. 12 Q. Do you know if the note referenced 13 in line column A, line 33, do you know if 14 those issues were resolved? 15 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 MR. ATKINSON: That's why I said for 3 now. 4 Q. So Exhibit 13 does not have any data 5 for the months of September, October, 6 November, and December 2017; is that correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And why is that? 9 A. I don't know. 10 Q. Have you seen a report, either this 11 report or one similar to it, for 2018? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Okay. So we're going to bounce back 14 to your declaration, in paragraph 9. I'm 15 sorry, if I may take a step back for just a 16 moment. 17 Do you know whether the jobs data 18 for 2018 has been maintained? 19 A. I have not reviewed it. My 20 understanding at a high level from speaking to 21 Misty and counsel is that 22 MR. FRIEDMANN: Stop. You can tell 23 them what you learned from speaking to 24 Misty. You should not tell them what you 25 heard from talking to counsel.
- Page 58	Page 6
1 M. MEGHJI	NA MEGUW
2 A. I do not. As I said, I've not	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Go ahead. Now you can complete your
3 followed up, nor had any interaction I was	3 answer.
4 not employed by Sears in 2017, so I had	4 A. My understanding from speaking to
5 nothing to do with this.	5 Misty Redman was that the company was in
<ul><li>6 Q. In preparing for today, were these</li><li>7 notations discussed with you or reviewed by</li><li>8 you?</li></ul>	6 compliance with the 4,250 limit in 2018, as 7 well, but I've not seen any data or anything 8 like that.
9 A. I had looked at the sheet, but I	<ul><li>8 like that.</li><li>9 Q. Do you know whether the scope of</li></ul>
o have not discussed these with anybody.	10 responsibilities for Ms. Redman include
1 Q. Is it a possibility that these	11 lobbying legislators in Illinois?
2 errors were never corrected, and therefore the	12 A. I'm not a hundred percent certain of
data reported here is faulty?  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	her full scope of her responsibilities, but her title is VP of government affairs.

- 15 A. My understanding is that the data
- used by the company in reporting this in '17
- was reasonable and accurate. That's what I've
- been told. 18
- 19 Q. By whom were you told that?
- 20 A. By Misty Redman and others.
- 21 Primarily Misty.
- 22 Q. My final question for now on
- Exhibit 13, I promise --23
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Don't make promises 24
- you can't keep.

- 15 Q. Turning now to paragraph 9 of your
- declaration. I think now that we've been
- through Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 13, I think we 17
- may be able to pick up the pace a little bit.
- So in paragraph 9, you state that Exhibit 2, 19
- which is the EDGE report dated March 1, 2017, 20
- that there were 4,298 Sears full-time
- employees located within Illinois, including 22
- Hoffman Estates and Chicago; is that correct? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you know who prepared Exhibit 2?

Page 61

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION Transcript Pg 18 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 63

Page 64

1	Μ.	MEGHJI

- 2 A. Not specifically. Not who did
- 3 individually.
- 4 Q. And same would hold true for whether
- 5 you know what person or persons provided data
- and support for preparing the exhibit? I
- 7 guess it would be the same answer pertaining
- 8 to Exhibit 1?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- 11 Q. And according to Exhibit 2, there
- were 53 employees, Sears employees who are
- located in Chicago; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And if you do just some quick math,
- that would indicate that there were 4,245
- 17 Sears employees as of March 1, 2017, at the
- 18 Hoffman Estates campus?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And then, sorry, we are back to
- 21 Exhibit 13 again.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Told you so.
- 23 Q. So in paragraph 9 of your
- declaration you state that there were 1,302
- tenant employees and contractors located

- 1 М. МЕСНЈІ
  - 2 the number of daily associate counts for
  - 3 February 2017?
  - 4 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
  - 5 A. It says Daily Associate Counts
  - 6 600-1100, and the number for February 2017
  - 7 shown on here is 1,926. I cannot interpret
  - 8 what that means.
  - 9 Q. On this same page, the same column,
  - down to row 13, it indicates the number of
  - 11 active associate badges; is that correct?
  - 12 A. It says associate badges under the
  - 13 column active badges.
  - 14 Q. And then again column AB, row 13,
  - for February 2017, it indicates 4,512?
  - 16 A. Yes.
  - 17 Q. And then Exhibit 13, column AB, line
  - 18 5, indicates the daily contractor swipes, is
  - that correct, for February 2017?
  - MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
  - 21 A. Again, I don't know what that means,
  - but reading that line, it says daily
  - contractor swipes 600-1100 a.m., and the
  - number under the February column is 412.
  - 25 Q. Based on the numbers reported

Page 62

- М. МЕСНЈІ
- 2 within the Economic Development Area during
- 3 February 2017.
- 4 A. Correct.

1

- 5 Q. And referring to Exhibit 13, it is
- 6 accurate to say that those 1,302 jobs are the
- 7 aggregate of OTB contractor badges, tenant
- 8 badges, and contractor badges?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And those are active badges; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I know before you said that you
- 14 didn't review these numbers in advance of
- today, but briefly, Exhibit 13, column AB,
- line 6, that cell reports the number of daily
- associate swipes for February '17; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. I've not looked at this before.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Just answer the
- 21 question, please.
- 22 A. Line -- can you repeat the question
- 23 again?
- 24 Q. Of course. So Exhibit 13, column
- AB, line 6, or row 6, does that cell indicate

- 1 M. MEGHJI
  - 2 here -- you know, I'll ask this now and I
- 3 presume it will carry forward, or I will ask
- 4 you if it will carry forward. But you
- 5 testified earlier when we were talking about
- January that Sears would have records of who
- 7 these individuals are that have the active
- 8 badges and who swiped in; is that correct?
- 9 A. I don't know that for a fact. I
- sort of presumed they would, but I don't know
- 11 that for a fact.
- 12 Q. And if I asked you that question for
- every month going forward, your answer would
- 14 be the same?
- 15 A. My answer would be I don't know that
- 16 for a fact, but presumably there's some data
- 17 available.
- 18 Q. Okay. So then we're going to go to
- 19 paragraph 10 of your declaration. So in
- 20 paragraph 10, you state that based on
- Exhibit 3, which is the EDGE report for March 29, 2017, that there were 4,288 Sears
- 23 employees in Hoffman Estates and Chicago; is
- 24 that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

			April 4, 2019
	Page 65		Page 67
1	М. МЕСНЈІ	1	. М. МЕСНЈІ
2	Q. And Exhibit 3 also reports that	2	
3	there were 53 employees who were located in	3	
4	Chicago?		
5		4	•
- 1		5	
6	Q. And then doing the quick math, 4,288	6	<u> </u>
7	minus 53 would give you 4,235 Sears employees	7	$\varepsilon$
8	in Hoffman Estates; is that correct?	8	
9	A. Correct.	9	
10	Q. I refer you back to Exhibit 13. You	10	$\varepsilon$
11	report in paragraph 10 that there were 1,276	11	
12	tenant jobs, and just for the point of	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	clarity, that number would be contractor	13	A. Reread the question, please.
14	badges, tenant badges, and OTB contract badges	14	Q. You've testified that you've counted
15	for March of '17?	15	the active badges for tenants and for
16	A. Yes.	16	contractors. Do you know how many hours or
17	Q. Again, those are active badges?	17	
18	A. Correct.	18	
19	Q. And I'm looking at Exhibit 13,	19	
20	column AC, line 13 strike that. I'm sorry.	20	
21	Column AC, line 6, indicates the	21	
22	number of daily associate counts occupant	22	A. I did not I personally do not
23	entries as 2,074.	23	
24	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	24	
1	Q. Is that correct?	25	
			<del></del>
	Page 66	-	
	1 age 00		Page 68
۱ ـ	-		Page 68
1	М. МЕСНЈІ	1.	м. меднјі
2	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question?	2	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours
2 3	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether	2	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week.
2 3 4	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily	2 3 4	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that?
2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.	2	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	2 3 4	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.	2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074. MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object	2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074. MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074. MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true orA. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true or A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true orA. No. Q not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074. MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this. If you want to ask a general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true or A. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let him finish his question because she can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries. MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074. MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.  If you want to ask a general question that's going to be applicable to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true or A. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.  If you want to ask a general question that's going to be applicable to all of them, why don't you do that, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true orA. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let him finish his question because she can't get his question and your answer at the same time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.  If you want to ask a general question that's going to be applicable to all of them, why don't you do that, and then we can move on to something else. But to have him sit here and read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true orA. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let him finish his question because she can't get his question and your answer at the same time. Q. We're going to go back to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.  If you want to ask a general question that's going to be applicable to all of them, why don't you do that, and then we can move on to something else. But to have him sit here and read documents and read numbers to you, ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true orA. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let him finish his question because she can't get his question and your answer at the same time. Q. We're going to go back to your declaration and go to April. So paragraph 11,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.  If you want to ask a general question that's going to be applicable to all of them, why don't you do that, and then we can move on to something else. But to have him sit here and read documents and read numbers to you, ask the question you need and then you can do all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true or A. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let him finish his question because she can't get his question and your answer at the same time. Q. We're going to go back to your declaration and go to April. So paragraph 11, you state that Exhibit 4 of the EDGE report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI A. What is the question? Q. Whether column AC, line 6, whether that cell reports that there were 2,074 daily associate occupant entries.  MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Line 6 under column AC says 2,074.  MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object to this entire line of questioning. If you want to ask him a general question about this document. If we're going to go through every single month, we're going to be here all day long. Both Mo and I have a lot more things we can be working on right now for the estate and we really can't be wasting our time with this.  If you want to ask a general question that's going to be applicable to all of them, why don't you do that, and then we can move on to something else. But to have him sit here and read documents and read numbers to you, ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI of these individuals worked more than 35 hours a week. Q. And how would Sears know that? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. I have not investigated how they specifically did that, but they had a process where they were comfortable that the people that worked in preparing this report, people worked more than 35 hours. The criteria to get to these numbers included meeting that, and so I assume that was true. Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to whether it's true orA. No. Q not? MR. FRIEDMANN: Make sure you let him finish his question because she can't get his question and your answer at the same time. Q. We're going to go back to your declaration and go to April. So paragraph 11,

In re: SEARS HOLDING MAGNIN PROSITION Transcript Pg 20 of 45

April 4, 2019 Page 69 Page 71 M. MEGHJI 1 M. MEGHJI 1 Hoffman Estates and Chicago; is that correct? at cell A5, it says Daily Contractor Swipes? з A. Yes. MR. FRIEDMANN: This is about the 3 4 Q. And you declare that according to sixth or seventh time you've asked him Exhibit 4, there were 56 employees who were what that column and row and that box located in Chicago? says. We have on record now A5 says Daily 6 7 A. Yes. Contractor Swipes 6:00 to 11:00 a.m. 7 8 Q. And that would indicate the number You've literally asked that question six of Sears employees is 4,209. times now. You've got that on the record. 9 10 A. Yes. You don't need to keep asking that. 10 11 Q. Paragraph 11 also says that there MR. ATKINSON: I'll ask the 11 were 1,276 tenant employees and contractors, questions I need to ask. 12 and again those were all calculated based on MR. FRIEDMANN: No, you won't. 13 the number of active badges for OTB MR. ATKINSON: Yes, I will. 14 contractors, contractors, and tenants; is that 15 MR. FRIEDMANN: You're wasting our correct? 16 time and we're not going to stay here. 16 17 A. Yes. 17 Ask new questions or we're done. 18 Q. If I can direct you to Exhibit 13 MR. ATKINSON: Had you prepared your 18 briefly, at column AD, line or row 13 witness properly, I wouldn't have to go 19 indicates that the total number of associate through this. 20 badges is 4,454. 21 MR. FRIEDMANN: You're asking the 22 A. I'm sorry, where are we again? same question. He's told you time and 22 23 Q. Column AD, row 13, the number of time again what it says, that he didn't 23 active associate badges is 4,454? focus on this, yet you continue to ask him 24 25 A. Column AD says 4,454. those questions. If you have something 25 Page 70 Page 72 1 M. MEGHJI 1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Yes. new, we're here, ask the questions --3 A. Column AD, line 13, says 4,454. MR. ATKINSON: I am asking something 3 4 Q. Okay. And same column, line 6, 4 new. indicates 2,026 daily associate counts -- C? 5 MR. FRIEDMANN: But please stop THE WITNESS: Can we take a break? 6 asking -- no, you have asked him now, we 6 I just want to consult with my counsel. can go back on the record and count how 7 MR. ATKINSON: Of course. 8 many times you've asked what A5 says. 8 (Recess was taken.) 9 MR. ATKINSON: Well, because he 9 BY MR. ATKINSON: 10 keeps saying that I can read the number in 10 11 Q. We calculated the active badges, I that cell, but I can't tell you what it 11 think we talked about for -- Exhibit 13, means. 12 column AD, line 5, reports that there were 388 13 MR. FRIEDMANN: You have that. 13 daily occupant entries by contractors during 14 MR. ATKINSON: Well, what the row 14 March of '17. Does this report indicate that? 15 says is that it is Daily Contractor 16 A. No. I can read that line 5, column Swipes. I don't see what the big mystery 16 AD, says 388. I cannot interpret what you 17 is here. Why can't he just say that it 17 seem to be interpreting because, as I've 18 says 388 Daily Contractor Swipes? That 18 19 previously said to you, I've not discussed would answer my question. 19 this section with anybody. MR. FRIEDMANN: That's not what A6 20 21 Q. Okay. says. A6 says Daily Contractor Swipes 21 22 A. So you're interpreting things that 6:00 to 11:00 a.m. You've asked him that 22

23 I'm not.

24 Q. Well, then can I say -- or can I ask

you whether the title of that row, so looking

23

24

25

question now at least for January,

least four times.

February, March, and now April. That's at

MOHSIN MEGHJI 19

XII I	te. BEARS HOLDING CORI ORATION, et al.		April 4, 2019
	Page 73		Page 75
1	M. MEGHJI	1	. М. МЕСНЈІ
2	MR. ATKINSON: And all he has to say	2	
3	is that the report says	3	
4	MR. FRIEDMANN: We will stipulate	4	
5	that this document says what it says. We	5	
6	can all read English. We all agree that	6	
7	it says what it says. Is that helpful?	7	
8	MR. ATKINSON: That will help me.	8	
9	MR. FLOREY: It would be helpful to		
10	have a witness that has information that	10	
11	is consistent with his affidavit.		ž .
12	MR. FRIEDMANN: There's nothing in		A. Sorry, I missed the last question.  Q. No worries. So that figure of
13	his affidavit that talks about these	i	•
14	columns and he's told you that already,	13 14	, ,
15	that this is not what he relied on.	15	,
16	MR. FLOREY: You produced a witness	1	tenants, and OTB contractors?  A. Correct. That work often states not
17	that does not have personal knowledge as	1	
18	required.	17	
19	MR. FRIEDMANN: Of what you want him	18	1 1
20	to have personal knowledge about.	19	
21	MR. ATKINSON: You've cited exhibit.		8 , ,
22	This is yours.	21	
23	MR. FRIEDMANN: He's already	22	1
24	explained to you what aspect of that	23	_
25	exhibit he relied upon and what he didn't.	24	
2.5	exmolt he rened upon and what he didn't.	25	WIR. PRIEDMANN. Object to form.
	Page 74		Page 76
1	М. МЕСНЈІ	1	M. MEGHJI
2	If you think there's something wrong with	2	A. Can you please repeat the question?
3	that, put it in your papers, argue it to	3	
4	the judge.	4	
5	MR. ATKINSON: This is hilarious.	5	moment ago, if I were to ask you regarding the
6	MR. FRIEDMANN: You're correct, this	6	daily swipes numbers in Exhibit 13 for May of
7	is hilarious. It's a good lesson for	7	
8	David, though, who's learning how not to	8	review those numbers in advance of today?
9	take a deposition. Please continue.	9	A. Correct.
10	MR. ATKINSON: Well, I hope he's	1	Q. Turning to paragraph 13 of your
11	learning how not to prepare a witness,	11	declaration, you state that Exhibit 6, which
12	too.	12	is the EDGE report for June 30, 2017, reports
13	BY MR. ATKINSON:	13	there were 4,181 Sears employees?
14	Q. Turning to paragraph 12 of your		A. Yes.
15	exhibit, you indicate that the May 31, 2017,		Q. And that report also indicates that
16	EDGE report says that there are 4,236 Sears	16	there were 49 Chicago employees?
17	employees in Hoffman Estates and Chicago; is	17	A. Correct.
18	that correct?	18	Q. Which would indicate 4,132 Sears
19 /	A. Yes.	19	employees in Hoffman Estates?
20 (	Q. And that exhibit also says there	20	A. Yes.
21	were 53 Sears employees located in Chicago?	21	Q. You also report that Exhibit 13
22 /	A. Yes.	22	indicates 1,180 tenant employees in June of
23 (	Q. Which would indicate 4,183 Sears	23	'17?
24	employees in Hoffman Estates.	24	A. Yes.

25 A. Correct.

25 Q. Again, that number is a compilation

Page 77

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORT PRATION, et al. Pg 22 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 79

Page 80

1	Μ.	MEGHJI

- 2 of active contractor badges, tenant badges,
- 3 and OTB contract badges?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And again, if I asked you about
- 6 daily occupant entries, you did not review
- 7 that portion of Exhibit 13 prior to today?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Turning to paragraph 14 of
- Exhibit A. You state that based on Exhibit 7,
- which is the July 31, 2017, EDGE report, that
- there were 4,043 Sears employees in Hoffman
- Estates and Chicago as of July 31, 2017; is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Exhibit 7 also reports 47 Sears
- 17 employees located in Chicago?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that would indicate a total
- 20 number of Sears employees in Hoffman Estates
- 21 at 3,996?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Paragraph 14 also you declare that
- there were 1,151 tenant employees or
- contractors in July of '17?

## 1 M. MEGHJI

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Your declaration then says that
- 4 Exhibit 13 indicates there were 1,129 tenant
- 5 employees and contractors in August 2017?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Again, that's a compilation of the
- 8 active contractor badges, tenant badges, and
- 9 OTB contract badges?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And if I were to ask you about the
- daily occupant entries, you did not review
- 13 that for today?
- 14 A. I did not.
- 15 Q. Paragraph 16, you indicate that
- based on Exhibit 9, which is the EDGE report
- for September 30, 2017, that there were 3,525
- 18 Sears employees in Hoffman Estates and
- 19 Chicago; is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And Exhibit 9 also reports there
- were 43 Sears employees in Chicago?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And that would indicate that in
- September 2017 there were 3,482 Sears

## Page 78

- M. MEGHJI
- 2 A. Yes.

1

- 3 Q. And again, those numbers are a
- 4 compilation of active badges for contractors.
- 5 tenants, and OTB contractors?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And if I were to ask you about the
- 8 daily swipes, again, you did not review those
- 9 numbers in preparation for today?
- 10 A. I did not.
- 11 Q. Paragraph 15 of your declaration,
- you state that based on Exhibit 8, which is
- the EDGE report for August 31, 2017, that
- there were 3,645 Sears employees in Hoffman
- Estates and Chicago as of August 31st of '17.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Exhibit 8 also reports that there
- were 44 Sears employees who were located in
- 19 Chicago; is that correct?
- 20 A. Sorry. There were 44?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. Correct, yes.
- 23 Q. Those numbers would indicate that
- 24 the total number of Sears employees in Hoffman
- Estates was 3,645; is that correct?

## 1 M. MEGHJI

- 2 employees in Hoffman Estates; is that correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Now, in paragraph 16, you don't rely
- 5 on Exhibit 13 anymore to tell us about
- 6 tenants, contractors, or OTB contractors.
- 7 Instead, you rely on Exhibit 14, which are a
- 8 series of e-mails from Amita Agarwal; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And for purposes of your declaration,
- in paragraph 16 it appears -- it does not
- 13 appear. You state definitively that you're
- relying on an e-mail dated November 7, 2017,
- to make your declaration regarding the number
- of jobs in September 2017; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you declare that there were
- 19 4,733, quote, "EDA eligible head count,"
- 20 unquote, at the end of September '17; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. Where -- I'll come back to that.
- First, who is Amita Agarwal?
- 25 A. Amita Agarwal was a member of Sears

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Pg 23 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 81	Page 83
1 M. MEGHJI	1 M MECHIL
2 SG&A team.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 number of employees that Sears has?
3 Q. I don't know what SG&A is. What is	3 A. What outcome?
4 that?	4 Q. So, for example, for October '17 it
5 A. Selling, general, and admin	5 indicates 4,733 number eligible.
6 department.	6 A. Uh-huh.
7 Q. Why did she begin preparing I	7 Q. Can you tell from that figure how
8 guess why did she prepare these e-mails?	8 many of the 4,733 are Sears employees?
9 A. My understanding, it was her	9 A. No.
10 responsibility to track those numbers. She	10 Q. Can you tell from that number the
11 was the person responsible for reporting the	11 number of tenant employees?
12 EDA employee counts, employee and contractor	12 A. No.
13 counts.	13 Q. OTB contractors?
14 Q. Beginning in November 2017 or before	14 A. I don't have a breakdown from just
15 that?	15 looking at that table.
16 A. I don't know when it began or ended,	16 Q. To the best of your knowledge, the
but she was the person doing it during this	17 number eligible in the second column there,
18 time period.	18 does that indicate an estimate of the people
19 Q. If I could take a few moments, I'm	19 that are there or an actual count of the
20 looking at so the document is, it looks	20 people who are there?
like it's Bates stamped Sears_EDA_00018, and	21 A. So if I look if you look at the
22 it's page 3 of 4 of Exhibit 14 and an e-mail	22 top of that e-mail from Amita Agarwal to a
dated November 7, 2017. There's a table in	group of people, the e-mail is dated
that e-mail. Do you see that?	24 November 17, 2017, at 2:51 p.m
25 A. Yes.	MR. FRIEDMANN: November 7th.
Page 82	Page 84
1 М. МЕСНЫ	ı M. MEGHJI
<ul><li>1 M. MEGHJI</li><li>2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li></ul>	<ul><li>1 M. MEGHJI</li><li>2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li></ul>
<ul> <li>1 M. MEGHJI</li> <li>2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>3 through that to help me understand what it's</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> <li>labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I read.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> <li>labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I read.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> <li>September. Those are the numbers I relied on</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> <li>labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I read.</li> <li>Q. What would you understand EST to</li> <li>mean?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> <li>September. Those are the numbers I relied on</li> <li>get to the answer here.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> <li>labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I read.</li> <li>Q. What would you understand EST to</li> <li>mean?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> <li>September. Those are the numbers I relied on</li> <li>get to the answer here.</li> <li>Q. So the table indicates that the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> <li>labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I read.</li> <li>Q. What would you understand EST to</li> <li>mean?</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> <li>September. Those are the numbers I relied on</li> <li>get to the answer here.</li> <li>Q. So the table indicates that the</li> <li>4,733 is for October, not for September.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go</li> <li>through that to help me understand what it's</li> <li>saying. So that table is, it appears to be</li> <li>labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's what I read.</li> <li>Q. What would you understand EST to</li> <li>mean?</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> <li>A. May guess would be estimate, but I</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> <li>September. Those are the numbers I relied on</li> <li>get to the answer here.</li> <li>Q. So the table indicates that the</li> <li>4,733 is for October, not for September.</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> </ol>
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows.
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at</li> <li>2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update</li> <li>since last meeting. October month ending EDA</li> <li>eligible head count 4,698." So that's October</li> <li>month end compared to 4,733 the end of</li> <li>September. Those are the numbers I relied on</li> <li>get to the answer here.</li> <li>Q. So the table indicates that the</li> <li>4,733 is for October, not for September.</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> <li>Misstates what the document shows.</li> <li>Q. Well, the first row says October</li> </ol>
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733.
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that 16 correct?	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733. 16 Am I reading that correctly?
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that 16 correct? 17 A. Correct.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733. 16 Am I reading that correctly? 17 A. That's what it says. Again, I
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Then we have number eligible 19 indicated for each of those months; is that 20 correct?	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733. 16 Am I reading that correctly? 17 A. That's what it says. Again, I 18 haven't sort of interpreted or gone over the
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Then we have number eligible 19 indicated for each of those months; is that 20 correct? 21 A. That's what it says, yes.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733. 16 Am I reading that correctly? 17 A. That's what it says. Again, I 18 haven't sort of interpreted or gone over the 19 table. I relied on the conclusion line at the
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Then we have number eligible 19 indicated for each of those months; is that 20 correct? 21 A. That's what it says, yes. 22 Q. Do you know how those numbers were	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733. 16 Am I reading that correctly? 17 A. That's what it says. Again, I 18 haven't sort of interpreted or gone over the 19 table. I relied on the conclusion line at the 20 top as opposed to cross-reconciling these two
1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. I'd like to take a moment just to go 3 through that to help me understand what it's 4 saying. So that table is, it appears to be 5 labelled EDA Forecast EST; is that correct? 6 A. That's what I read. 7 Q. What would you understand EST to 8 mean? 9 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 10 A. May guess would be estimate, but I 11 don't know. I haven't discussed that 12 specifically with anybody. 13 Q. And then the left-most column is 14 labelled month and it appears to run from 15 October '17 through December of '18; is that 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. Then we have number eligible 19 indicated for each of those months; is that 20 correct? 21 A. That's what it says, yes.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Sorry, November 7, 2017, at 3 2:51 p.m. The e-mail starts, "EDA update 4 since last meeting. October month ending EDA 5 eligible head count 4,698." So that's October 6 month end compared to 4,733 the end of 7 September. Those are the numbers I relied on 8 get to the answer here. 9 Q. So the table indicates that the 10 4,733 is for October, not for September. 11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 12 Misstates what the document shows. 13 Q. Well, the first row says October 14 '17, I should say the first row of the table 15 says October '17 and number eligible is 4,733. 16 Am I reading that correctly? 17 A. That's what it says. Again, I 18 haven't sort of interpreted or gone over the 19 table. I relied on the conclusion line at the 20 top as opposed to cross-reconciling these two 21 because my understanding was Amita was the

25 Q. Does that number break out the

25 Q. So for purposes of your declaration

In re: SEARS HOLDING MEGHIR PROSETION Trans	MOHSIN MEGHJ April 4, 201
Page 85	Page 87
1 M. MEGHJI	1 M. MEGHJI
2 and saying that were 4,733 positions, you	2 means that other than this is how she did it.
3 relied on the e-mail from November 7, 2017 and	3 Q. So three bullets below where we were
4 a single number reported on line 1?	4 just indicating, it says Default. Do you see
5 A. Correct.	5 that?
6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	6 A. The fourth bullet point, yes.
7 Misstates his declaration.	7 Q. It says, "If we fall below the
8 Q. For that reason, you don't have	8 threshold, the EDA is suspended until it's
9 personal knowledge that that number was	9 cured. The disbursement of funds at the end
o correct or not; is that correct?	10 of the year is then prorated for the time we
1 A. I relied on the person responsible	were above the threshold." Do you see that?
who had reported on that. So I believe it to	12 A. Yes.
be correct based on that.	13 Q. Turning the page, at the very top of
4 Q. Did you rely on the person or the	14 page 4 of 4 of Exhibit 14, it says Update at
5 e-mail?	
6 A. The e-mail.	1
7 Q. So again, you don't have personal	16 A. I'm sorry. Where are you looking 17 now?
1 1 1 2	
8 knowledge, then? 9 A. I did not count it myself.	18 Q. So we are on Exhibit 14, page 4 at
	19 the top of the page.
Q. So Exhibit 14 also includes an	20 A. Yes.
e-mail dated October 16, 2017. Do you see	21 Q. It says Update?
that? It's at the bottom of the page there.	22 A. Yes.
3 A. Yes.	23 Q. And that says, "Based on an
4 Q. Under a header of Background,	estimated attrition rate of 4 percent, table 2
there's a first bullet that says Criteria. Do	25 below, we will fall below the threshold by the
Page 86	Page 88
1 M. MEGHJI	1 M. MEGHJI
you see where I'm indicating?	2 end of January 2018." Do you see that?
A. Yes.	3 A. Yes.
Q. And that says "Criteria: Full	4 Q. What if anything would you
time/part-time workers or contractors employed	5 understand that to mean?
at Hoffman Estates campus greater than or	6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
equal to 4,250 by any employer for greater	7 A. I understand that to mean that based
than or equal to 35 hours per week." Did I	8 on an estimated attrition rate of 4 percent,
read that correctly?	9 table 2 below, we will fall below the
A. I believe so.	threshold by end January '18, as of her e-mail
Q. Does that indicate that Ms. Agarwal	of October 16th.
indicated that the criteria for the Economic	12 Q. So would you understand that to mean
Development Area tax incentive was premised on	13 that she is projecting that Ms. Agarwal is
the number of persons at the Hoffman campus?	
MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	projecting that Sears will not be eligible for
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the Economic Development Area incentive
5 A. Can you repeat the question?  O. Of course. So the first bullet	beginning in January 2018?
	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
under Background, which is the e-mail from	18 A. That was her estimate at that point.
Ms. Agarwal, does that indicate that her	19 Q. And she was responsible for
understanding of the criteria for the Economic	20 maintaining the data; is that correct?
Development Area tax incentive was that there	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
had to be a certain number of employees at the	22 A. That's my understanding. The she
Hoffman campus?	was the person responsible for reporting that.
MR FRIEDMANN: Object to form	24 O Do you see the section below that

MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.

25 A. I don't know that it necessarily

24 Q. Do you see the section below that

25 that says Next Steps?

**MOHSIN MEGHJI** 

inte. SEARS HOLDING CORFORATION, et al.	April 4, 2019
Page 89	Page 91
1 M. MEGHJI 2 A. Yes.	<ul><li>1 M. MEGHJI</li><li>2 Exhibit 10, which is the Sears Holdings</li></ul>
3 Q. And do you see where it says, "In	3 Corporation Associate Count-EDGE, Economic
4 order for us to secure this credit, we need to	4 Development For a Growing Economy report, as
5 find more tenants and rent out some of the	5 of October 31, 2017; is that correct?
6 underutilized space at the campus. Please	6 A. Yes.
7 advise if we should set up a meeting to	7 Q. And does the first tab state that
8 discuss strategies and progress." Did I read	8 there were 3,477 Sears full-time employees
9 that correctly?	9 located within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and
10 A. I think you read it correctly.	10 Chicago on that date; is that correct?
11 Q. Do you know whether there were any	11 A. Yes.
meetings held to discuss the strategies and	12 Q. And you declare based on Exhibit 10
progress that she discussed there?	13 that there were 42 employees located in
14 A. I do not.	14 Chicago; is that correct?
15 Q. Do you know whether, based on	15 A. Yes.
16 Ms. Agarwal's estimate, if Sears fell below	16 Q. And that would indicate that there
the threshold by the end of January 2018?	were 3,435 Sears employees in Hoffman Estates
18 MR. FRIEDMANN: Objection. Asked	18 in October or as of October 31, 2017; is
19 and answered.	19 that correct?
20 MR. ATKINSON: I didn't ask that	20 A. Yes.
21 question.	21 Q. And again, paragraph 17 of your
22 MR. FRIEDMANN: You did, actually.	22 declaration, you rely on Exhibit 14, which is
23 We can go back and check the transcript.	23 a November 7, 2017, e-mail to report that
24 A. My understanding, as I previously	there were 4,698 EDA qualifying jobs as of
25 answered explicitly, is that at no time during	25 December 31, 2017; is that correct?
and worked explicitly, is that at no time during	23 December 31, 2017, is that confect:
Page 90	Page 92
1 M. MEGHJI	1 M. MEGHJI
2 2018 did Sears fall below the 4,250 compliance	2 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
3 requirement.	3 A. There were 4,600 I'm sorry.
4 Q. Do you have personal knowledge that	4 4,698 EDA qualifying jobs just at the Hoffman
5 that's the case?	5 campus, not within the full EDA.
6 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	6 Q. Okay. And again, that number,
7 Asked and answered.	7 4,698, based on Exhibit 14, Exhibit 14 does
8 A. That's what's been represented to me	8 not break out the number of Sears employees,
9 by responsible individuals at Sears.	9 tenants, contractors, etcetera; is that
10 Q. Turning to paragraph 17 of your	10 correct?
declaration, you report that based on	11 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
Exhibit 10, which is the EDGE report for	12 A. Exhibit 14 states the total number.
October 31, 2017, that there were 3,477 Sears	13 Q. And there's no breakout of the
14 employees in Hoffman Estates and Chicago; is	14 individual classifications?
15 that correct?	15 A. No.
MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. It	16 Q. Paragraph 18 of your declaration
17 says full-time employees located. If	17 says that you relied on Exhibit 11, which is a
18 you're going to read documents, at least	18 copy of the Sears Holdings Corp. associate
read them correctly.	count-EDGE, Economic Development For a Growing
20 MR. ATKINSON: I can slow down if	20 Economy report as of November 30, 2017; is
21 you want.	21 that correct?
MR. FRIEDMANN: No. I just want you	22 A. Yes.
to be accurate.	23 Q. And you report that the first tab

MR. ATKINSON: I'll slow down. 25 Q. So in paragraph 17, you reference says that there were 3,408 Sears employees located in Hoffman Estates and Chicago --

		April 4, 2019
	Page 93	Page 95
1	М. МЕСНЈІ	ı M. MEGHJI
2		1 M. MEGHJI 2 correct? It's designated as table 2.
3	employees. I don't know why you keep	3 A. See that,
4	taking that out. I don't know if you're	
5	trying to trick the witness or you're just	4 Q. Below that table let me back up 5 for a moment. There's a column entitled EDA
6	not reading carefully, but you keep	
7	skipping the same word every single time.	6 Eligible Count. Do you see that? 7 A. Yes.
8	If you're going to read from a document,	
	you've got to read all the words. You	
9	can't leave out the words that you choose.	9 Contractors, with an asterisk; is that 10 correct?
10		10 correct? 11 A. Yes.
i	MR. ATKINSON: Sir, I'm not reading it; I'm asking him a question. I'm asking	
12	him whether his report says in his	12 Q. And do you see the asterisk below
13	declaration that there are 3,408 Sears	13 the table that provides the note for that,
14		what appears to be a footnote that's in the
15	employees in Hoffman Estates and Chicago.	15 asterisks. Do you see that?
16	That's my question. I'm not reading the document.	16 A. Yes.
17		17 Q. And that indicates that "The
18	MR. FRIEDMANN: Does your document	18 contractor counts are based on August and
19	say what it doesn't say. That's his	contingent labor report where the contractor
20	question. Go ahead.	20 city is Hoffman, Illinois and the access was
	A. There were 3,408 Sears full-time	21 requested for badge/badge plus computer." Do
22	employees located in Illinois.	22 you see that?
23	Q. And of that number, 41 were located	23 A. Yes.
24	in Chicago?	24 Q. Did I read that correctly?
25	A. Correct.	25 A. I think you did.
	Page 94	Page 96
1		
1 2	М. МЕСНЈІ	1 M. MEGHJI
2	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367	<ul><li>1 M. MEGHJI</li><li>2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li></ul>
2	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in	<ul> <li>1 M. MEGHJI</li> <li>2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>3 labor report is?</li> </ul>
2 3 4	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates?	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct?	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> <li>to why the number reported for November 17th</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question?	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> <li>to why the number reported for November 17th</li> <li>for contractors of 433 is inaccurate?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> <li>to why the number reported for November 17th</li> <li>for contractors of 433 is inaccurate?</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14,	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> <li>to why the number reported for November 17th</li> <li>for contractors of 433 is inaccurate?</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> <li>to why the number reported for November 17th</li> <li>for contractors of 433 is inaccurate?</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me what the contingent</li> <li>labor report is?</li> <li>A. I don't know what that is.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why a head count report</li> <li>for November '17 would rely on a contingent</li> <li>labor report dated end of August?</li> <li>A. I cannot tell you why.</li> <li>Q. Would that give you any concern as</li> <li>to why the number reported for November 17th</li> <li>for contractors of 433 is inaccurate?</li> <li>MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in</li> <li>a moment more here, the first two categories,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head count was 4,445.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that 19 correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head count was 4,445. Q. And that e-mail would have been	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that 19 correct? 20 A. That's what it says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head count was 4,445. Q. And that e-mail would have been or was dated December 14, 2017?	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that 19 correct? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. And for the remaining categories of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head count was 4,445. Q. And that e-mail would have been or was dated December 14, 2017? A. Correct.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that 19 correct? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. And for the remaining categories of 22 tenants, daycare, building contractors and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head count was 4,445. Q. And that e-mail would have been or was dated December 14, 2017? A. Correct. Q. So at the bottom of that page, which	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that 19 correct? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. And for the remaining categories of 22 tenants, daycare, building contractors and 23 contractors I'm sorry. Let me back up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI Q. And that would indicate 3,367 full-time equivalent Sears employees in Hoffman Estates? A. Correct. Q. And again, in paragraph 18, you rely on Exhibit 14, though this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal that's dated December 14, 2017; is that correct? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Of course. In paragraph 18 of your declaration, you again rely on Exhibit 14, however this time you rely on an e-mail from Amita Agarwal dated December 14, 2017. MR. FRIEDMANN: Same objection. A. I rely on the e-mail from Amita Agarwal that says the EDA total eligible head count was 4,445. Q. And that e-mail would have been or was dated December 14, 2017? A. Correct.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 Q. Can you tell me what the contingent 3 labor report is? 4 A. I don't know what that is. 5 Q. Do you know why a head count report 6 for November '17 would rely on a contingent 7 labor report dated end of August? 8 A. I cannot tell you why. 9 Q. Would that give you any concern as 10 to why the number reported for November 17th 11 for contractors of 433 is inaccurate? 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 13 A. No. 14 Q. In looking at table 2 for just a in 15 a moment more here, the first two categories, 16 so total EDGE eligible and less Chicago 17 location EDGE, the table indicates the source 18 of that data are monthly EDGE reports; is that 19 correct? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. And for the remaining categories of 22 tenants, daycare, building contractors and

			April 4, 2012
	Page 97		Page 99
1	M. MEGHJI	1	M. MEGHJI
2	that data is the source of that data is	2	What this is an indication of to me
3	facilities badge data? Is that what it	3	
4	indicates?	4	
5	A. That's what it says.	5	T
6		6	
7	source is procurement CLR; is that correct?	7	
8	A POST of the Control	8	
9	Q. And prior to today, did you review	9	
10	this table?	10	ACD PROVINCE COLUMN
11	A. I had reviewed the e-mail, but not	11	
12	this table specifically.	12	
13	Q. Does Exhibit 14 anywhere identify	13	
14	the number of daily occupant entries?	14	1
15	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	15	
16	A. I'm sorry. What's a daily occupant	16	
17	entry here?	17	
18	Q. In Exhibit 13, there was a separate	18	
19	portion of the table that reported the number	19	
20	of daily occupant entries. Do you recall	20	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
21	that?	21	
22	A. Yes. Exhibit 14 does not do that.	22	said was probably when we would be done.
23	Q. Looking at this e-mail, there's a	23	I could tell we're not because we're only
24	header that says EDA Update-December 2017. Do	24	at November. But can we take a break and
25	you see that?	25	maybe you can figure out else what you
1			
	Page 98		Page 100
1	-	1	·
1 2	м. медны	1 2	М. МЕСНЫ
1	M. MEGHJI A. Yes.	1 2 3	M. MEGHJI have left?
2	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do	2	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close.
2 3	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current	3	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close.
2 3 4	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still	2 3 4	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want
2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end	2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break?
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still	2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. This does not. These were estimates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to Next Steps. Number 1, it says, "Inform Eddie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. This does not. These were estimates at various points in time. A few minutes ago	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to Next Steps. Number 1, it says, "Inform Eddie on the status of the credit and a potential risk to most of the 8.7M in FY2018." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. This does not. These were estimates at various points in time. A few minutes ago you were badgering me on why it could be done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to Next Steps. Number 1, it says, "Inform Eddie on the status of the credit and a potential risk to most of the 8.7M in FY2018." Do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. This does not. These were estimates at various points in time. A few minutes ago you were badgering me on why it could be done in January, the risk was in January as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to Next Steps. Number 1, it says, "Inform Eddie on the status of the credit and a potential risk to most of the 8.7M in FY2018." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who Eddie is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. This does not. These were estimates at various points in time. A few minutes ago you were badgering me on why it could be done in January, the risk was in January as a result of the October e-mail. This is a large	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to Next Steps. Number 1, it says, "Inform Eddie on the status of the credit and a potential risk to most of the 8.7M in FY2018." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who Eddie is? A. Yes. It's Eddie Lampert, who is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI A. Yes. Q. And number 3 under that header, do you see where it says, "Based on the current attrition and new hire trends, the risk still remains to fall below the threshold by the end of March 2018." Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. And do you see the bullet below that says, "The 2018 SG&A impacts will move our EDA risk to February 2018 now"? A. Yes, I see that. Q. Does that e-mail change your opinion of whether Sears actually, strike that. Does this change the reliability, in your eyes, of the reports you received that Sears had the required number of jobs in 2018? MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. A. This does not. These were estimates at various points in time. A few minutes ago you were badgering me on why it could be done in January, the risk was in January as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. MEGHJI have left? MR. FLOREY: We're pretty close. MR. ATKINSON: We're pretty close. MR. FRIEDMANN: Okay. Do you want to take a five-minute break? MR. ATKINSON: That would be fantastic. (Recess was taken.) BY MR. ATKINSON: Q. I'm referring back again to Exhibit 14. It is the December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal with an update of an EDA from December 17th. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. I want to draw your attention to Next Steps. Number 1, it says, "Inform Eddie on the status of the credit and a potential risk to most of the 8.7M in FY2018." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who Eddie is?

Γ		April 4, 2013
	Page 101	Page 103
1	M. MEGHJI	1 M. MEGHJI
2		2 A. She is not.
3		3 Q. Or by Transform
4	projection, but it says the next step is to	4 A. No.
5	advise Mr. Lampert, now that we know who Eddie	5 MR. FRIEDMANN: Let him finish his
6	is. So she is recommending informing the CEO	6 question.
7	of a potential risk to most of the 8.7 million	7 Q. Do you know where she is?
8	in 2018. Does that in any way change your	8 A. I don't.
9	impression for Sears compliance for the jobs	9 Q. Amita Agarwal, do you know whether
10	numbers at Hoffman Estates in 2018?	10 she's still employed by Sears?
11	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	11 A. She's not.
12	A. No.	12 Q. Do you know whether she's employed
13	Q. Why not?	13 by Transform Co.?
14	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	14 A. No.
1		
- 1	A. As I've indicated to you, I've been	15 Q. Do you know where we could find her?
16	advised that we were in compliance during	16 A. No.
17	2018. So that risk has now passed and this	17 Q. Other than these individuals, is
18	was a risk being discussed in advance of that,	there anyone else other than counsel that you
19	so it doesn't surprise me.	relied upon or spoke to in preparation for
20	Q. Could you give us the names of the	20 your testimony today?
21	individuals that you relied on to say or to	21 A. No.
22	testify today that Sears was in compliance	22 Q. Turning to paragraph 19 of your
23	with the EDA minimum job counts for 2018?	23 declaration, you state that based on
24	A. Misty Redman.	Exhibit 12, which is the December 31, 2017
25	Q. Was there anybody else?	25 EDGE report, that that report indicates there
		1
i		
	Paga 102	Page 104
	Page 102	Page 104
1	Page 102 M. MEGHJI	Page 104  1 M. MEGHJI
		ı M. MEGHJI
2	M. MEGHJI A. No.	<ul> <li>1 M. MEGHJI</li> <li>2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> </ul>
2	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears	<ul> <li>1 M. MEGHJI</li> <li>2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> </ul>
2 3 4	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings?	<ul> <li>1 M. MEGHJI</li> <li>2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>4 is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Located</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Located</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Located</li> <li>Q. In Hoffman only.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that?	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Located</li> <li>Q. In Hoffman only.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes.	<ol> <li>M. MEGHJI</li> <li>were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located</li> <li>within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there</li> <li>were 40 Sears full-time employees located in</li> <li>Chicago; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Therefore, that would indicate that</li> <li>as of December 2017, the number of Sears</li> <li>employees was 3,339; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Sears full-time employees.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Located</li> <li>Q. In Hoffman only.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely</li> <li>on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know where Mr. Bredemeier is	1 M. MEGHJI 2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located 3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago; 4 is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there 7 were 40 Sears full-time employees located in 8 Chicago; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Therefore, that would indicate that 11 as of December 2017, the number of Sears 12 employees was 3,339; is that correct? 13 A. Sears full-time employees. 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Located 16 Q. In Hoffman only. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely 19 on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017, 20 e-mail from Ms. Agarwal, to indicate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know where Mr. Bredemeier is today?	1 M. MEGHJI 2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located 3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago; 4 is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there 7 were 40 Sears full-time employees located in 8 Chicago; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Therefore, that would indicate that 11 as of December 2017, the number of Sears 12 employees was 3,339; is that correct? 13 A. Sears full-time employees. 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Located 16 Q. In Hoffman only. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely 19 on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017, 20 e-mail from Ms. Agarwal, to indicate that 21 there were 4,435 EDA eligible head count at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know where Mr. Bredemeier is today? A. In a moment.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located 3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago; 4 is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there 7 were 40 Sears full-time employees located in 8 Chicago; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Therefore, that would indicate that 11 as of December 2017, the number of Sears 12 employees was 3,339; is that correct? 13 A. Sears full-time employees. 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Located 16 Q. In Hoffman only. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely 19 on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017, 20 e-mail from Ms. Agarwal, to indicate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know where Mr. Bredemeier is today?	1 M. MEGHJI 2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located 3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago; 4 is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there 7 were 40 Sears full-time employees located in 8 Chicago; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Therefore, that would indicate that 11 as of December 2017, the number of Sears 12 employees was 3,339; is that correct? 13 A. Sears full-time employees. 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Located 16 Q. In Hoffman only. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely 19 on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017, 20 e-mail from Ms. Agarwal, to indicate that 21 there were 4,435 EDA eligible head count at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know where Mr. Bredemeier is today? A. In a moment.	1 M. MEGHJI 2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located 3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago; 4 is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there 7 were 40 Sears full-time employees located in 8 Chicago; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Therefore, that would indicate that 11 as of December 2017, the number of Sears 12 employees was 3,339; is that correct? 13 A. Sears full-time employees. 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Located 16 Q. In Hoffman only. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely 19 on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017, 20 e-mail from Ms. Agarwal, to indicate that 21 there were 4,435 EDA eligible head count at 22 the end of December '17; is that correct? 23 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. MEGHJI A. No. Q. Does Ms. Redman still work for Sears Holdings? A. She works for Transform Co., which is the buyer of Sears. Q. There are some and there's no one else other than Misty that you talked to about 2018 jobs compliance? MR. FRIEDMANN: Other than counsel. A. Correct. Q. There are a couple other names that you've referenced along the way and I'd like to revisit those for just a minute. John Bredemeier, he was the gentleman who drafted the letter back in 2017 to the Village of Hoffman Estates. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Do you know where Mr. Bredemeier is today? A. In a moment. Q. Jennifer Mendoza, do you know	1 M. MEGHJI 2 were 3,379 Sears full-time employees located 3 within Illinois, Hoffman Estates and Chicago; 4 is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Exhibit 12 also indicates that there 7 were 40 Sears full-time employees located in 8 Chicago; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Therefore, that would indicate that 11 as of December 2017, the number of Sears 12 employees was 3,339; is that correct? 13 A. Sears full-time employees. 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Located 16 Q. In Hoffman only. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Now, in paragraph 18 you again rely 19 on Exhibit 14, which is the December 14, 2017, 20 e-mail from Ms. Agarwal, to indicate that 21 there were 4,435 EDA eligible head count at 22 the end of December '17; is that correct? 23 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.

Page 105

**MOHSIN MEGHJI** April 4, 2019

Page 107

Page 108

M. MEGHJI		

- state that based on Exhibit 14, which is the
- December 14, 2017, e-mail from Amita Agarwal, 3
- that there were 4,435 EDA eligible head count
- 5 at the end of December 2017.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 6
- 7 A. Yes.

1

- 8 Q. And it's your declaration and your
- sworn testimony therein that there were 4,433
- EDA job qualifying jobs as of December 31, 10
- 2017. 11
- 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- Misreads the declaration. 13
- MR. ATKINSON: I'll just read the 14
- 15 whole thing.
- 16 Q. As set forth in the e-mail from
- Amita Agarwal to various recipients, including 17
- Mr. Rieker, dated December 14, 2017, attached
- hereto as Exhibit 14, with those categories of 19
- 20 workers included, Sears calculated that the
- number of projected EDA qualifying jobs as of 21
- December 31, 2017, was 4,435. Is that a 22
- correct recitation of your declaration?
- 24 A. Yes. The number of projected EDA
- qualifying jobs was 4,435 as of December 31,

- M. MEGHJI 1
- 2 O. Okay. So keeping your attention
- here on Exhibit 14, which is the e-mail dated
- December 14, 2017, does this Exhibit 14, does
- this e-mail project that the number of Sears
- employees, tenant employees, contractors, and 6
- OTB contractors is estimated to fall below
- 4,250 starting in February 2018? 8
- A. It falls -- in this e-mail, in this 9
- table, it falls below -- the estimate falls 10
- 11 below 4,250 beginning in March -- sorry --
- yeah, beginning in March, not February. 12
- 13 Q. And this e-mail, as we already said,
- is from December 14, 2017, which is a mere
- three and a half months before March of 2018; 15
- is that correct?
- 17 A. It is as of December 14th.
- 18 Q. And so looking at this e-mail and
- these projections, does that change in any way 19
- 20 am your sense of confidence that Sears
- maintained 4,250 jobs at the Hoffman Estates 21
- campus in calendar year 2018? 22
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 23
- 24 A. Does its change might have sense of
  - confidence? In what?

## Page 106

- 1 M. MEGHJI
- 2017. 2
- 3 Q. Now, the Exhibit 14, and the e-mail
- dated December 14, 2017, that is before
- December 31, 2017; is that correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Do you know, looking at Exhibit 14,
- whether the 4,435 EDA eligible head count is
- an actual count or an estimated count?
- 10 A. As I said, it's a projected number.
- 11 Q. Why didn't you use an actual number
- in preparing your declaration instead of an
- 13 estimated number?
- 14 A. Based on the records available to us
- in looking at this, this was the closest date
- 16 that we had.
- 17 Q. So could we classify that as your
- best guess? 18
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. 19
- 20 A. No, it's not a guess. It's an
- estimate that was done on December 14, 2017,
- by the person responsible for it. And it was 22
- two weeks out, so that was sort of the best 23
- 24 estimate we had. Estimate is different from
- 25 guess.

- M. MEGHJI 1
- 2 O. In the representations made to you
- that Sears maintained 4,250 jobs in 2018.
- 4 A. I have no reason to disbelieve
- what's been told to me as a fact. The so
- that's -- I haven't looked at the data, but I 6
- have no reason to not rely on representations
- that were made to me that we're in compliance
- 9 in 2018.
- 10 Q. Can you tell us who made that
- 11 representation?
- 12 MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.
- Asked and answered. 13
- 14 A. I've had a discussion with Misty
- Redman and counsel.
- 16 O. Having seen this e-mail from
- December 14, 2017, with the projection saying 17
- three months out they fall below, would that 18
- prompt you in any way to go back to Ms. Redman 19
- or anyone else at Sears to verify that Sears
- remained above the 4,250? 21
- 22 A. No. The 4,250 benchmark was for the
- Economic Development Area as a whole. It was 23
- not a criteria simply for Hoffman Estates, the 24
- Sears campus. So that's important to bear in

MOHSIN MEGHJI

			April 4, 2019
	Page 109		Page 111
1	M. MEGHJI	1	M. MEGHJI
2		2	
3	0 m 1	3	
4		4	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
5		5	
6		6	<u> </u>
7		7	
8		8	
9	0 0 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9	
10		10	
11		11	*171
12		12	
13		1.3	
14		14	
15		15	
16	** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	16	
17		17	
18	Transcription of the contract	18	
19	MR. ATKINSON: 2017.	19	
20	A. There was no need to do that because	20	Q. You were asked earlier regarding
21	we were compliant without that.	21	
22	Q. Same question, 2018.	22	
23	MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to object	23	
24	to that, especially on the grounds that	24	
25	the judge specifically yesterday advised	25	<del>-</del>
		-	
	Page 110		Page 112
1		1	M. MEGHJI
2	you that discovery in 2018 was improper at	2	the documents that are attached to your
3	this time.	3	declaration?
4	MR. FLOREY: Are you directing him	4	8
5	not to answer?	5	normal course basis monthly by the company,
6	MR. FRIEDMANN: I'm going to give	6	and we rely on those records. They were not
7	you a little bit of leeway here, but after	7	specially generated after the fact for this
8	that I'm going to direct him not to answer	8	process.
9	because I think you made your point to the	9	1 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
10	Court as to why you thought it was	10	was marked as Exhibit A, Exhibit 1 to your
11	relevant and the Court disagreed with you.  If you know the answer, go right	11	declaration, which is titled Sears Holding
13	ahead.	12	Corp. Associate Count-EDGE, and it says
1	A. What is the question again?	13	Criteria as of January 31, 2017. Based on
15	MR. FRIEDMANN: I don't remember.	14	your knowledge, do you know on or about when
16	Q. Let me see if I can remember.	15	this document was created?
17	So the question is: For 2018,	l	A. On or around January 31, 2017.
18	calendar year 2018, what methods or processes	17	Q. You were asked earlier whether or
19	did Sears use to calculate the number of jobs	18	not you knew what a reference to OTB stood
20	inside the Economic Development Area, but	19	for, the letters OTB. Have you since been able to learn what OTB stands for?
21	outside of the Sears corporate campus?	20	A. Yes; off the books.
	A. I haven't discussed those in detail		
23	with anybody yet.	23	Q. And can you explain to us what that means in context?
24	MR. ATKINSON: Can I have a minute?		A. Just in the context of they're off
	(Recess was taken)	44	the company's neveral records

(Recess was taken.)

25

25 the company's payroll records.

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORTORATION, et al. Pg 31 of 45

MOHSIN MEGHJI April 4, 2019

Page 115

	Page 113
М. МЕСНЈІ	

- 2 Q. These are contractors that are off
- 3 the books, meaning what?
- 4 A. They're not on the company's payroll
- 5 record. They're not on the company's books
- 6 and records as employees.
- 7 Q. And why was Sears keeping track of
- 8 them?

1

- 9 A. Because they were contractors who
- 10 had access on Hoffman campus and working more
- than 35 hours a week at Hoffman.
- 12 Q. You were asked just before we took a
- break about the December numbers which are
- referenced in paragraph 19 of Exhibit A, your
- 15 declaration. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In particular, you were asked about
- 18 the job numbers for those individuals who are
- not employed by Sears. Do you recall that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And, in fact, the last sentence of
- your declaration, paragraph 19 was read to you
- which references that Sears calculated the
- 24 projected EDA qualifying jobs as of
- 25 December 31, 2017, was 4,435. Do you recall

- 1 M. MEGHJI
- 2 with the 4,250 figure, you personally didn't
- 3 rely on Sears records to reach that
- 4 conclusion; is that correct?
- 5 MR. FRIEDMANN: This is for 2018?
- 6 MR. ATKINSON: Yes.
- 7 A. I spoke to somebody that I was told
- 8 that we were in compliance. I didn't view
- 9 specific records.
- 10 Q. But that would have been Ms. Redman,
- 11 presumably?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is it accurate to say you only
- 14 relied on her representations, not
- 15 representations of other parties?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Do you know what records, if any,
- 18 she relied upon?
- 19 A. I don't.
- 20 Q. Do you recall when you had
- 21 conversations with Ms. Redman about 2018
- 22 compliance?
- 23 A. It would be within the last couple
- 24 of weeks.
- 25 Q. Regarding the underlying data for

Page 114

Page 116

- 1 M. MEGHJI
- 2 that?
- з A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And I were asked about it being a
- 5 projected number rather than an actual number.
- 6 Do you recall that?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Do you know whether since
- 9 December 14, 2017, Sears confirmed compliance
- 10 with the EDA requirements to the Village of
- Hoffman Estates for all of December of 2017?
- 12 A. Yes. It was done in a letter dated
- January 2, 2019. The letter was signed by
- 14 Mr. Sidley, who was the company's general
- 15 counsel at the time.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: I have nothing further.
- MR. ATKINSON: I have I think five
- 18 questions.
- MR. FRIEDMANN: You're setting
- 20 yourself up when you say something like that.
- MR. ATKINSON: Maybe six.
- 22 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. ATKINSON
- 24 Q. So for 2018, for your testimony
- today in saying that Sears was in compliance

- 1 M. MEGHJI
- 2 the Sears EDA reports and card swipes and all
- 3 the other materials we've discussed today, do
- 4 you know whether that data currently resides
- 5 with Sears or with Transform Co.?
- 6 A. It does not reside with the estate
- 7 today.
- 8 Q. Do you have access to that data
- 9 through Transform Co.?
- 10 A. We have a transition services
- agreement, so I presume we can get access to
- reasonable data as required.
- 13 Q. So at this point in time, the estate
- doesn't have records itself to support its
- assertions regarding the underlying jobs
- figures; is that correct?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form. You
- 18 can answer.
- 19 A. We have access to all of that data.
- 20 Q. But you don't --
- 21 A. Through our transition services
- 22 agreement.
- 23 Q. But the estate itself doesn't have
- 24 it?
- MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 Exhibit C -

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Pg 32 of 45

	Page 117		Page 119
1	M. MEGHJI	1	CERTIFICATE
2		2	
3		3	STATE OF NEW YORK )
4		4	) SS.:
5		5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK )
6		6	
7	estate's possession, custody, and control.	7	I, KRISTI CRUZ, a Notary Public within
8	MR. ATKINSON: That works.	8	and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:
9	Q. So having the benefit of that	9	That MOHSIN MEGHJI, the witness whose
10	arrangement, in preparing for today, why	10	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly
11		11	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true
12	had people that work for you go to the source	12	record of the testimony given by such witness.
13	data to verify the materials that we discussed	13	I further certify that I am not related
14	today?	14	to any of the parties to this action by blood or
15	MR. FRIEDMANN: Object to form.	15	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in
16	A. Why wouldn't I have gone to the	16	the outcome of this matter.
17	source data, is that what the question is?	17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
18	Q. Yes.	18	my hand this 5th day of April, 2019.
19	A. I was comfortable with relying on	19	
20	what I was told.	20	
21	MR. ATKINSON: No further questions.	21	
22	MR. FRIEDMANN: Thank you.	22	
23	(Time noted: 12:45 p.m.)	23	
24		24	
25		25	KRISTI CRUZ
	Page 118		Page 120
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	1	*** ERRATA SHEET ***
2	THE CALL OF THE CA	2	ELLEN GRAUER COURT REPORTING CO. LLC
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )	3	126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10022 212-750-6434
4	:SS	4	212-730-0434
5	COUNTY OF )	5	NAME OF CASE: IN RE SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION DATE OF DEPOSITION: APRIL 4, 2019
6	,	6	NAME OF WITNESS: MOHSIN MEGHJI
7	I, MOHSIN MEGHJI, hereby certify that	7	PAGE LINE FROM TO REASON
8	I have read the transcript of my testimony taken	8	
9	under oath in my deposition of April 4, 2019;	9	
10	that the transcript is a true, complete and	10	
11	correct record of my testimony, and that the	11	
12	answers on the record as given by me are true	12	
13	and correct.	13	
14		14	
15			
16		15	
		15 16	
17	MOHSIN MEGHJI		
	MOHSIN MEGHJI	16	
17 18 19		16 17	
18 19 20	Signed and subscribed to before me,	16 17 18	
18 19 20 21	Signed and subscribed to before me,	16 17 18 19	Subscribed and Sworn before me
18 19 20 21 22	Signed and subscribed to before me, this day of , 2019.	16 17 18 19 20	Subscribed and Sworn before me this day of, 2019.
18 19 20 21 22	Signed and subscribed to before me, this day of , 2019.	16 17 18 19 20 21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 19 20 21 22 23	Signed and subscribed to before me, this day of , 2019.	16 17 18 19 20 21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 19 20 21 22	Signed and subscribed to before me, this day of , 2019.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13

Meghii Deposition Transcript Pg 33 of 45

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.

				7 kpi ii 4, 2015
	89:22;98:14	ALLEN (1)	112:12	24:24;25:19;26:2;
\$	AD (6)	3:16	associates (5)	27:9,11;29:19,21,24;
	69:19,23,25;70:3,13,	almost (1)	42:25;44:23;46:17,	30:20,25;31:20,23;
\$10(2)	17	55:14	19;55:11	32:2;39:16,17,17;40:2;
48:25;52:11	added (1)	along (1)	assume (3)	41:11;42:22;46:12;
\$12 (1)	33:24	102:13	20:4;22:12;68:12	48:12;52:5,16;55:21;
48:9	Additional (1)	Amita (13)	assuming (2)	97:3
40.9	55:24	24:18;80:8,24,25;	44:6;47:4	badge/badge (1)
$\mathbf{A}$	adds (1)	83:22;84:21;94:8,15,	asterisk (2)	95:21
	41:15	17;100:13;103:9;	95:9,12	badgering (1)
A5 (3)	admin (1)	105:3,17	asterisks (1)	98:21
71:2,6;72:8	81:5	A-M-I-T-A (1)	95:15	badges (65)
A6 (2)	administrative (3)	24:18	ATKINSON (47)	27:12;29:14;30:16,
72:20,21	10:24;11:2,4	analyze (1)	6:6,15;8:10,14;	17,20;32:2;41:12,13,
*	advance (4)	47:23	13:12;28:5;32:19;	17,21;42:4,7,9,18;43:2,
AA (7)	62:14;75:23;76:8;	answered (4)	33:23;43:23;44:8;53:8,	5,9;45:16,17,17;46:2,
41:8;42:17;44:2,11;	101:18	89:19,25;90:7;		
47:2;51:3,5			10,18;59:2;67:2,5;	10,17;47:15,16,16;
AB (4)	advise (3)	108:13	70:8,10;71:11,14,18;	50:16,25;52:17,18;
62:15,25;63:14,17	18:10;89:7;101:5	anymore (1)	72:3,9,14;73:2,8,21;	55:22,25;56:13,19;
able (5)	advised (5)	80:5	74:5,10,13;89:20;	62:7,8,8,10;63:11,12,
34:5;52:21;55:22;	14:21,25;17:4;	apologize (2)	90:20,24;93:11;100:4,	13;64:8;65:14,14,14,
60:18;112:20	101:16;109:25	33:17;35:4	7,10;105:14;109:19;	17;67:7,10,15,18;
above (2)	affairs (3)	appear (1)	110:24;111:2;114:17,	69:14,21,24;70:11;
87:11;108:21	53:2;54:22;60:14	80:13	21,23;115:6;117:8,21	75:8,13,14;77:2,2,3;
,	affidavit (2)	appears (5)	attached (4)	78:4;79:8,8,9;109:11
Absolutely (1)	73:11,13	27:21;80:12;82:4,14;	6:21;33:21;105:18;	
29:22				bankruptcy (5)
absurd (1)	again (39)	95:14	112:2	9:10;10:12;36:21;
26:19	11:24;16:19;20:8,22;	appellate (1)	attention (4)	50:2;111:23
AC (4)	21:10;30:12;32:11;	32:18	11:25;34:7;100:16;	based (29)
65:20,21;66:3,7	38:21;39:6;43:2,25;	applicable (1)	107:2	17:9;24:7,24;27:15;
access (6)	46:25;48:3;49:21;50:8;	66:18	Attorneys (2)	31:25;52:13;63:25;
95:20;109:12;	56:24;61:21;62:23;	appointment (2)	3:4,13	64:20;67:8;69:13;
113:10;116:8,11,19	63:14,21;65:17;69:13,	11:18,19	attrition (3)	75:19;76:3;77:10;
according (2)	22;71:23;76:25;77:5;	approximately (1)	87:24;88:8;98:5	78:12;79:16;85:13;
	78:3,8;79:7;84:17;	10:17	August (5)	87:23;88:7;89:15;
61:11;69:4	85:17;91:21;92:6;94:6,	April (4)	78:13,15;79:5;95:18;	90:11;91:12;92:7;
account (1)	13;99:11;100:11;			
109:8		68:22,24;72:24;	96:7	95:18;98:4;103:23;
accurate (8)	104:18;110:14	118:9	available (2)	105:2;106:14;109:6;
13:5;50:12;52:18;	Agarwal (17)	ARCHER (1)	64:17;106:14	112:13
53:22;58:17;62:6;	24:18;27:11;80:8,24,	3:12	Avenue (1)	basically (1)
90:23;115:13	25;83:22;86:11,19;	Area (10)	3:14	35:8
acknowledge (1)	88:13;94:8,15,18;	22:23;62:2;75:4,17;	average (1)	basis (4)
13:16	100:13;103:9;104:20;	86:13,21;88:15;	45:4	31:15;67:25;111:15;
Act (6)	105:3,17	108:23;109:8;110:20	Averages (2)	112:5
	A-G-A-R-W-A-L (1)	argue (3)	39:22;40:2	Bates (1)
13:24;14:22;17:5,5,	24:18	74:3;117:2,3	33.22,10.2	81:21
8;18:2	Agarwal's (1)		В	
active (29)	89:16	around (2)	D	bear (1)
35:6;39:16,17;41:17,		111:14;112:16		108:25
21;43:4,9;46:10,12,17;	aggregate (1)	arrangement (1)	back (33)	became (1)
50:24;62:10;63:11,13;	62:7	117:10	9:5;16:2;20:13;21:2,	11:13
64:7;65:17;67:10,15,	aggregates (1)	aspect (1)	3;22:7;27:19;30:7;	become (1)
18;69:14,24;70:11;	41:10	73:24	33:25;37:6;38:7;40:5;	33:16
75:8,13,14;77:2;78:4;	ago (4)	assertions (1)	41:4;44:9;46:8;50:4,	began (1)
79:8;109:11	34:22;75:20;76:5;	116:15	21;52:6;53:19;59:13,	81:16
	98:20	assigned (1)	15;61:20;65:10;68:21;	begin (1)
activity (1) 31:11	agree (1)	30:21	72:7;80:23;89:23;95:4;	81:7
	73:6	associate (28)	1	
actual (5)			96:23;100:11;102:16;	beginning (5)
38:25;83:19;106:9,	agreement (2)	8:20,25;22:21;23:5;	108:19;111:24	53:14;81:14;88:16;
11;114:5	116:11,22	42:18,22;43:4,9;44:3,	background (3)	107:11,12
actually (13)	ahead (3)	12,15,20;45:4,21;	9:22;85:24;86:18	below (24)
8:9;26:4;32:12;	60:2;93:20;110:13	55:21;62:17;63:2,5,11,	backup (1)	12:9;15:2;18:9;
34:22;36:2;39:4;40:11;	akadish@archerlawcom (1)	12;65:22;66:5;69:20,	45:18	19:20;21:7;87:3,7,25,
46:11,14;47:24;48:13;	3:18	24;70:5;91:3;92:18;	badge (25)	25;88:9,9,24;89:16;
			L	

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13
In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Exhibit C -

32:18	C calculate (1)	72:11 CEO (2) 100:24;101:6	classify (1) 106:17 clear (4)	compliance (13) 17:7;60:6;90:2;99:7;
99:9,16;107:7,10,11; 108:18 bench (1) 32:18 benchmark (1) 108:22	A. C.	CEO (2)	106:17	17:7;60:6;90:2;99:7;
bench (1) 32:18 benchmark (1) 108:22	A. C.	100:24:101:6	alcom (4)	
32:18 benchmark (1) 108:22	calculate (1)	1 1940410	cicar (4)	101:9,16,22;102:9;
32:18 benchmark (1) 108:22	CAICHIAIC LLI	certain (4)	6:11;32:10,25;54:24	108:8;114:9,25;115:8,
108:22	110:19	60:12;86:22;111:12,	clearly (1)	22
108:22	calculated (7)	16	13:23	compliant (1)
honofit (1)	7:7;54:6;69:13;	certainly (1)	close (2)	109:21
nenent (1)	70:11;82:23;105:20;	42:11	100:3,4	components (2)
117:9	113:23	certification (13)	closest (2)	49:4,7
best (4)	calculator (1)	13:6,19;14:2;15:11,	52:15;106:15	computer (1)
33:10;83:16;106:18,	43:21	22;18:4,11,18;20:9;	CLR (1)	95:21
23	calendar (13)	23:19;49:25;50:7;	97:7	concern (3)
Betting (1)	13:9;14:4;15:6,13,	52:11	Co (4)	56:6;57:18;96:9
33:9	23;17:10;18:6,20;	certified (3)	102:5;103:13;116:5,	concerned (1)
beyond (1)	19:15;21:6,14;107:22;	19:19;21:5;49:21	9	47:14
26:19	110:18	certify (4)	coffee (1)	concerning (1)
big (2)	call (1)	16:12;19:21;50:11;	29:7	75:21
36:16;72:16	34:11	118:7	collected (2)	conclusion (3)
bit (3)	called (4)	certifying (5)	24:23;53:4	21:22;84:19;115:4
35:25;60:18;110:7	6:2;23:5;32:23;	12:7;16:5,20;20:8;	collecting (1)	concurrent (1)
board (1)	55:16	48:8	27:8	11:18
18:14	came (3)	challenges (1)	column (40)	confidence (2)
books (3)	25:19;36:10;51:23	10:4	38:17,20;39:15,21;	107:20,25
112:21;113:3,5	campus (31)	change (5)	41:8;42:17;43:7;44:2,	confirm (5)
Both (1)	7:8,11,13,16,18;	98:13,15;101:8;	10;47:2,14;51:3,5;	43:19,21;45:18;
66:13	12:9;13:8;14:4,24;	107:19,24	55:17;56:24;57:2,17,	47:12;50:11
bottom (3)	15:5,13,23;18:6,19;	changed (1)	23;62:15,24;63:9,13,	confirmed (2)
55:15;85:22;94:23	27:20;29:3,18;31:7,11;	57:7	14,17,24;65:20,21;	50:10;114:9
bounce (2)	47:18;61:18;86:6,14,	changing (1)	66:3,7;69:19,23,25;	connection (2)
33:25;59:13	23;89:6;92:5;107:22;	57:6	70:3,4,13,16;71:5;	52:4;111:11
box (1) 71:5	108:25;109:12;110:21;	Chapter (1)	82:13;83:17;95:5	consistent (2)
1 1 (0)	113:10	10:18	columns (1)	20:20;73:11
25:7;53:7;70:6;	can (57)	charged (1) 84:23	73:14	constantly (1)
82:25;92:8;99:24;	8:8;11:3,10;13:15,	check (1)	combination (1) 46:23	98:25
100:6;113:13	16,22;15:8;16:7;18:14;	89:23	comfortable (6)	consult (1) 70:7
breakdown (2)	23:2,12;26:7,7;28:22;	Chicago (27)	49:8;67:2;68:8;99:7;	Cont'd (1)
83:14;94:25	30:4,4;31:3;32:14;	3:6;34:18;37:11;	109:7:117:19	3:1
breakout (1)	36:18;38:17;41:4;54:3;	60:23;61:13;64:23;	coming (6)	contemporaneously (1)
92:13	59:22;60:2;62:22;	65:4;69:2,6;74:17,21;	27:10;39:4;41:6;	111:14
Bredemeier (6)	66:14,20,23;67:8,20;	76:16;77:13,17;78:15,	46:4;51:12,13	context (2)
13:4;14:12,14;22:12;	69:18;70:6,16,24,24;	19;79:19,22;90:14;	commence (1)	112:23,24
102:15,20	72:7,10;73:6;76:2;	91:10,14;92:25;93:15,	10:15	contingent (3)
briefly (5)	83:7,10;86:16;89:23; 90:20;94:11;96:2;	24;96:16;104:3,8	Community (1)	95:19;96:2,6
6:18;12:25;21:10;	99:24,25;104:24;	chief (8)	8:4	continue (2)
62:15;69:19	108:10;109:4;110:16,	9:8,11,16;10:6,10,	companies (4)	71:24;74:9
brought (1)	24;111:9;112:22;	16;11:13,19	10:2,2,5;23:21	contract (3)
10:11	116:11,18	choose (1)	company (20)	65:14;77:3;79:9
building (10)	card (1)	93:10	9:14;11:12;20:18;	contractor (38)
7:16;23:21;25:2;	116:2	Chuck (2)	25:18;28:7;29:2;31:13;	31:23;32:23;39:3,19;
29:15;31:10,11;33:5;	carefully (1)	57:5,13	36:16;47:17;48:17;	41:11,13;45:16,17;
38:23;96:22,25	93:6	cited (1)	49:9,17;52:15;54:6;	47:3,6,7,15,16;50:24,
bullet (4)	carry (2)	73:21 <sup>°</sup>	58:16;60:5;98:24;99:6;	24;51:6,19;52:4,16,18;
85:25;86:17;87:6;	64:3,4	city (2)	111:13;112:5	54:6,7;55:11;62:7,8;
98:9	case (1)	37:9;95:20	company's (6)	63:18,23;65:13;71:2,7;
bullets (1)	90:5	clarify (1)	36:11;37:3;112:25;	72:15,18,21;77:2;79:8;
87:3 c	cases (1)	11:3	113:4,5;114:14	81:12;95:18,19
bunch (2)	25:13	clarity (2)	compared (1)	contractors (58)
29:4;31:9 c	categories (5)	53:13;65:13	84:6	7:14,17;23:21,22;
business (3)	38:22;96:15,21,24;	classification (1)	compilation (3)	24:25;25:2,9;28:14,17;
9:25;24:22;111:17	105:19	41:18	76:25;78:4;79:7	31:2,4,6,9,10,14,17,18,
buyer (1)	tell (5)	classifications (1)	complete (2)	19;32:8;33:6;38:11;
102:6	62:16,25;66:4;71:2;	92:14	60:2;118:10	41:2;45:13,13,19;

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.

		1	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
49:19;61:25;67:8,11,	counts (20)	date (9)	55:23	disclosed (2)
16;69:12,15,15;70:14;	26:2;32:12;40:2;	8:13;12:22;14:23;	departed (1)	35:24;38:2
75:4,9,9,14,15;77:25;	44:3,12,16;46:13;	17:15;34:19;50:2;	40:21	discovery (1)
78:4,5;79:5;80:6,6;	49:13;57:6,10;63:2,5;	53:17;91:10;106:15	department (5)	110:2
83:13;86:5;92:9;95:9;	65:22;70:5;75:8;81:12,	dated (18)	27:8;29:16;36:17;	discuss (4)
96:11,22,23,25;97:6;	13;95:18;99:15;101:23	12:15,20;14:19;	37:4;81:6	7:19;55:4;89:8,12
107:6,7;113:2,9	COUNTY (1)	17:13;60:20;80:14;	Deposition (10)	
control (1)	118:5			discussed (10)
	I .	81:23;83:23;85:21;	6:10,12,13;8:5;	21:3;58:7,10;70:19;
117:7	couple (3)	94:8,15,21;96:7;	43:18;53:11,14,15;	82:11;89:13;101:18;
controls (1)	20:17;102:12;115:23	100:25;105:18;106:4;	74:9;118:9	110:22;116:3;117:13
56:12	course (8)	107:3;114:12	derive (1)	discussion (2)
conversation (1)	16:9;23:3;62:24;	David (1)	25:14	75:19;108:14
76:3	70:8;86:17;94:12;	74:8	derived (1)	discussions (3)
conversations (1)	111:17;112:5	day (5)	32:12	20:17;52:14;54:20
115:21	court (4)	46:12;51:12,14;	describe (5)	distinguishing (1)
copy (5)	39:10;66:24;110:10,	66:13;118:21	10:23;12:25;22:19;	32:6
8:16,18;9:3;34:10;	11	daycare (2)	28:22;31:4	distribution (5)
92:18	covered (1)	96:22,25	description (1)	12:6;14:21;17:4;
corner (3)	21:12	days (1)	53:21	18:15;19:11
35:6,11;53:20	created (4)	10:18	designated (2)	District (1)
Corp (6)	111:22,23,24;112:15		47:3;95:2	8:5
		day-to-day (1)		
9:9;22:20,21;23:5;	credit (3)	30:13	detail (5)	document (11)
92:18;112:12	7:5;89:4;100:18	debating (1)	6:24;8:23;38:16;	18:24;28:10;54:25;
corporate (5)	criteria (9)	54:9	56:10;110:22	66:11;73:5;81:20;
14:16;28:23;109:12,	35:10,11;68:10;	Debbie (2)	details (1)	84:12;93:8,17,18;
17;110:21	85:25;86:4,12,20;	57:10,15	19:7	112:15
Corporation (7)	108:24;112:13	debtors (2)	determination (1)	documents (7)
6:25;11:8;13:4;14:4;	CRO (2)	12:5,13	26:3	6:21,23;32:25;66:22,
17:6;18:6;91:3	11:21;111:12	Debtor's (2)	determine (5)	90:18;111:22;112:2
corrected (1)	cross-reconciling (1)	8:3;111:16	22:22;27:3;37:14;	dollars (2)
58:12	84:20	December (33)	50:5;56:18	48:10;49:2
correctly (11)	cured (1)	18:13;34:23;35:2;	determining (2)	done (17)
13:15;45:2;56:3;	87:9	59:6;82:15;91:25;94:9,	25:23;49:12	9:21;10:6;27:6;
57:11;84:16;86:9;89:9,	current (3)	15,21;100:12,14;	developer (2)	
10;90:19;95:24;111:18	9:7;39:18;98:4			36:23;39:14;42:12;
		101:2;103:24;104:11,	17:6;18:9	47:11;54:4;55:14;
correlate (1)	currently (1)	19,22;105:3,5,10,18,	Development (13)	67:25;71:17;98:21;
52:8	116:4	22,25;106:4,5,21;	22:23;23:6;62:2;	99:4,22;106:21;111:2;
correlation (1)	CUSD (2)	107:4,14,17;108:17;	75:4,17;86:13,21;	114:12
21:22	3:4,13	113:13,25;114:9,11	88:15;91:4;92:19;	door (1)
counsel (13)	custody (1)	declaration (45)	108:23;109:8;110:20	39:5
20:18,23,25;50:10;	117:7	6:22;8:11,17,18;	difference (2)	down (5)
54:21;59:21,25;70:7;		11:24;12:2;16:10;	23:25;46:16	38:17;39:15;63:10;
76:4;102:10;103:18;	D	22:18,19;23:3,14;	different (4)	90:20,24
108:15;114:15		24:16;33:25;34:9;37:7;	30:20;41:18;48:22;	drafted (1)
count (26)	daily (34)	38:8;40:24;54:19;	106:24	102:16
22:21;24:20,24;	27:10;44:3,11;45:4;	59:14;60:16;61:24;	diligence (6)	draw (2)
25:18,23;27:11;45:4;	46:18;47:3,7;51:6,19;	64:19;68:22;76:11;	47:20;48:4,7,11,21;	21:22;100:16
49:20;50:6;52:19;	53:23;55:5;57:10;	78:11;79:3;80:11,15;	50:3	due (6)
55:21;72:7;80:19;	62:16;63:2,5,18,22;	84:25;85:7;90:11;	dip (3)	47:20;48:4,7,11;
83:19;84:5;85:19;	65:22;66:4;70:5,14;	91:22;92:16;93:14;	12:9;15:2;21:7	50:3;57:6
94:19,25;95:6;96:5;	71:2,6;72:15,18,21;	94:13;103:23;105:8,	direct (4)	· ·
104:21;105:4;106:8,9,				duly (1)
9;109:16	75:21;76:6;77:6;78:8;	13,23;106:12;111:9;	11:24;69:18;110:8;	6:2
	79:12;97:14,16,20	112:3,11;113:15,22	111:9	duplicate (4)
counted (9)	data (34)	declare (6)	directing (2)	55:24,25;56:13,19
23:20;26:24,25;	6:21,23;20:12;24:24;	12:2;23:7;69:4;	34:7;110:4	during (18)
27:17;31:24,25;32:4;	27:9;36:14;38:9;40:16;	77:23;80:18;91:12	director (1)	13:8;14:4;17:9;18:6,
45:20;67:14	47:17,23;58:13,15;	Default (1)	14:15	8;19:15;21:5;24:17;
count-EDGE (4)	59:4,17;60:7;61:5;	87:4	disagreed (1)	31:4;35:22;37:24;
23:6;91:3;92:19;	64:16;67:8;88:20;	define (1)	110:11	46:14;53:24;62:2;
112:12	96:18;97:2,2,3,6;	38:18	disbelieve (1)	70:14;81:17;89:25;
counting (5)	108:6;109:13;115:25;	definitively (1)	108:4	101:16
26:12;46:3;67:7;	116:4,8,12,19;117:11,	80:13	disbursement (1)	
75:13;109:14	13,17	delete (1)	87:9	
		` '		

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Exhibit C -

			T	119, 2017
	91:23;94:8,14,17,20;	ensure (1)	107:7	34:2,5
${f E}$	97:11,23;98:13,23;	56:13	estimates (1)	exist (1)
J.D.	100:13,25;104:20;	entire (2)	98:19	14:23
(=)	105:3,16;106:3;107:3,	17:8;66:9	etcetera (2)	exists (1)
earlier (7)	5,9,13,18;108:16	entities (2)	35:8;92:9	36:23
21:4;35:25;44:22;				
45:23;64:5;111:20;	e-mailed (1) 40:6	27:16,23	everyone (3)	expect (1)
112:17		entitled (2)	29:21,22;31:21	11:7
early (1)	e-mails (3)	54:12;95:5	exactly (1)	expected (1)
10:19	80:8;81:8;99:13	entries (15)	33:6	50:13
Economic (13)	employ (1)	32:2;49:12;50:17;	EXAMINATION (3)	experience (1)
22:23;23:6;62:2;	47:22	51:9;53:21,23;55:2,6;	6:5;111:6;114:22	9:23
75:4,17;86:12,20;	employed (10)	65:23;66:5;70:14;77:6;	examined (1)	explain (1)
88:15;91:3;92:19;	22:15;40:18;48:18;	79:12;97:14,20	6:3	112:22
108:23;109:8;110:20	58:4;67:25;86:5;	entry (2)	example (5)	explained (3)
Economy (3)	102:24;103:10,12;	38:18;97:17	10:9;29:6;50:22;	45:15;54:5;73:24
23:7;91:4;92:20	113:19	equal (2)	83:4;112:9	explicitly (1)
EDA (34)	employee (8)	86:7,8	exceed (2)	89:25
7:5;12:6;14:20;17:3;	23:13;37:9;39:19;	equivalent (2)	43:10,13	extent (3)
18:15;19:10;23:12,16,	43:2;49:13;81:12,12;	23:20;94:3	except (2)	8:2,7;9:2
19;24:12;80:19;81:12;	99:15	error (2)	8:24;11:17	extrapolation (1)
82:5;84:3,4;87:8;	employees (113)	50:15,19	excluded (1)	21:23
91:24;92:4,5;94:18;	7:9,12,12;11:13;	errors (1)	25:17	eyes (2)
	16:13;22:23;23:8,20,	58:12	excludes (1)	47:22;98:16
95:5;97:24;98:10;	23;24:11,12,20,25;	especially (1)	8:19	47.22,98.10
100:14;101:23;104:21;	25:2,5,10,22;26:9,9,10,	109:24	excuse (3)	F
105:4,10,21,24;106:8;	11,24;27:2,4,13,14,15,	ESQ (2)	21:13;22:20;47:7	I.
113:24;114:10;116:2	16;29:4,12,24;30:2,8,9,	3:7,16		£1141 (1)
Eddie (4)	10,31:18;32:8;34:17;		executive (2)	facilities (1)
100:17,22,23;101:5		Essentially (5)	9:16;11:19	97:3
EDGE (22)	35:9,19;37:10,13,15,	9:13;11:20;23:19;	exercised (2)	fact (6)
22:21;27:18;34:11;	20,24,25;38:5,11;39:2;	24:4;27:6	48:10,21	64:9,11,16;108:5;
43:11;45:24;46:13;	41:2;42:25;43:10;	EST (2)	exercising (1)	112:7;113:21
60:20;64:21;68:23,24;	44:23,24;45:12,25;	82:5,7	48:7	facts (4)
74:16;76:12;77:11;	49:18;50:6;53:24;	established (1)	Exhibit (136)	39:9,11,11,11
78:13;79:16;90:12;	60:22;61:12,12,17,25;	29:23	8:11,16,17;11:23;	fair (5)
96:16,17,18;103:25;	64:23;65:3,7;68:25;	estate (10)	12:19,20,23;13:5;14:7,	15:4,9,21;18:16;
109:9;111:21	69:5,9,12;74:17,21,24;	9:14,17;14:16;27:7;	7,8,8,9,15:4,10,19,20;	21:17
Edward (1)	75:3;76:13,16,19,22;	29:16;46:24;66:15;	16:3,10,15,16,16;	fall (8)
11:20	77:12,17,20,24;78:14,	116:6,13,23	17:13,17,18,21;18:16,	87:7,25;88:9;90:2;
effort (1)	18,24;79:5,18,22;80:2;	Estates (69)	17,25;19:4;21:3,4,4,10;	98:6;99:16;107:7;
48:25	83:2,8,11;86:22;90:14,	7:6,8,11,13,16,18;	34:8,9;36:2,15;37:8,	108:18
either (4)	17;91:8,13,17;92:8,24;	12:5,9;13:25;14:24;	14;38:2,14;41:4;42:16;	falling (1)
10:4;11:5;31:18;	93:3,15,22;94:3;104:2,	15:5,11,13,23;16:5,12;	43:3,25;45:2,11;46:25;	99:9
59:10	7,12,13;107:6,6;	17:25;18:3,18,19;19:3,	47:14;48:24;49:4;	falls (3)
elements (1)	111:16;113:6	13;20:21;21:11;22:24;	50:22,23;53:11,12,15,	107:9,10,10
54:10	employee's (2)	23:8,23,24;24:6,8;	19;55:14,15;56:7;	fantastic (1)
eligibility (1)	24:2,2	25:3;27:16,20;29:3,18;	58:23;59:4;60:17,17,	100:8
7:5	employer (1)	31:3,6;34:18;37:16;	19,25;61:6,8,11,21;	far (2)
eligible (13)	86:7	47:19,23,25;60:23;	62:5,15,24;63:17;	47:14;54:6
80:19;82:18;83:5,17;	employment (3)	61:18;64:23;65:8;69:2;	64:21;65:2,10,19;67:6;	fast (1)
	16:5;20:14;49:22	74:17,24;76:19;77:13,	68:23;69:5,18;70:12;	34:6
84:5,15;88:14;94:18;	end (13)	20;78:15,25;79:18;	73:21,25;74:15,20;	faulty (1)
95:6;96:16;104:21;	35:16;80:20;84:6,6;	80:2;86:6;90:14;91:9,		
105:4;106:8			75:2,21;76:6,11,21;	58:13
eliminated (1)	87:9;88:2,10;89:17;	17;92:25;93:15;94:4;	77:7,10,10,16;78:12,	favor (1)
99:9	96:7;98:6;99:17;	101:10;102:17;104:3;	17;79:4,16,21;80:5,7;	39:8
else (12)	104:22;105:5	107:21;108:24;114:11	81:22;85:20;87:14,18;	favorite (1)
31:21;34:24;37:5;	ended (1)	estate's (1)	90:12;91:2,12,22;92:7,	29:6
45:22;54:2;66:20,25;	81:16	117:7	7,12,17;94:7,13;97:13,	February (11)
99:25;101:25;102:8;	ending (1)	estimate (8)	18,22;100:12;103:24;	62:3,17;63:3,6,15,19,
103:18;108:20	84:4	82:10;83:18;88:18;	104:6,19;105:2,19;	24;72:24;98:11;107:8,
e-mail (34)	English (1)	89:16;106:21,24,24;	106:3,7;107:3,4;111:8;	12
40:12;80:14;81:22,	73:6	107:10	112:9,10,10;113:14	feel (2)
24;83:22,23;84:3;85:3,	enough (1)	estimated (5)	Exhibits (6)	9:3;32:14
	33:13	87:24;88:8;106:9,13;	8:19;33:15,20,21;	
15,16,21;86:18;88:10;	33:13	87:24;88:8;106:9,13;	8:19;33:15,20,21;	fell (2)

				April 4, 2017
18:9;89:16	25:6;26:6;30:3;34:25;	12;106:19;107:23;	26:22;83:23	76:19;77:12,20;78:14,
few (4)	35:17;37:21;38:3;	108:12;109:18,23;	Growing (3)	24;79:18;80:2;86:6,14,
34:21;81:19;98:20;	39:25;42:5;43:12;44:5,	110:6,15;111:4,7;	23:7;91:4;92:19	23;90:14;91:9,17;92:4,
111:4	17,21;45:7;46:6,20;	114:16,19;115:5;	guess (22)	25;93:15;94:4;95:20;
fewer (1)	47:9;48:2,15;49:5,14;	116:17,25;117:6,15,22	9:16,17;10:21,22;	101:10;102:17;104:3,
17:11	50:18;52:12,23;53:25;	front (1)	25:7;27:19;28:13;	16;107:21;108:24;
figure (6)	55:7;56:8,20;57:20,25;	38:3	29:17;32:3;33:10,21;	113:10,11;114:11
33:2;43:9;75:12;	58:14;61:10;63:4,20;	full (15)	35:14;39:7,9,22;54:17;	hold (1)
83:7;99:25;115:2	65:24;66:6;67:12,19;	8:18;9:3;23:20;	61:7;81:8;82:10;	61:4
figures (10)	68:5;75:10,25;82:9;	26:12,15,20;30:17,19;	106:18,20,25	Holding (7)
16:5,20;20:8;21:14,	84:11;85:6;86:15,24;	32:6;33:20;35:7;47:12;	guesses (1)	9:9,23;13:4;17:6;
18,19;22:8;41:16;	88:6,17,21;90:6,16;	60:13;86:4;92:5	39:10	18:5;42:4;112:11
49:22;116:16	92:2,11;94:10;96:12;	full-time (20)	guy (1)	Holdings (14)
filed (1)	97:15;98:18;99:10,20;	23:22;24:20;26:8,10;	9:10	6:24;13:7,8;14:2,4,
10:18	101:11,14;104:23;	29:4;31:15;34:17;35:9,	TT	24;18:4;22:20,21;23:5;
filing (3)	105:6,12;106:19;	19;43:10;49:18;60:21;	H	91:2;92:18;102:4,25
10:12;50:2;66:24	107:23;108:12;116:17,	90:17;91:8;93:2,21;	1. (6)	home (1)
final (2)	25;117:15	94:3;104:2,7,13	half (2)	24:8
54:23;58:22	former (1)	fully (1)	33:11;107:15	Hometown (1)
financial (1) 10:3	27:14 <b>forth (2)</b>	49:3	handy (1)	28:25
find (4)	33:25;105:16	fun (1) 33:24	34:4	honestly (1)
34:5;36:18;89:5;	forward (3)	functions (1)	head (9) 37:4;80:19;84:5;	33:3 hans (1)
103:15	64:3,4,13	31:14	94:18,25;96:5;104:21;	hope (1) 74:10
fine (1)	four (1)	funds (2)	105:4;106:8	hoping (1)
44:8	72:25	18:15;87:9	header (4)	33:8
finish (4)	fourth (1)	further (6)	51:8;85:24;97:24;	hour (2)
52:2;68:18;103:5;	87:6	14:25;18:7;39:15;	98:3	33:11;53:7
109:4	frame (3)	114:16,22;117:21	headquarters (5)	hours (23)
first (15)	18:7;38:24;46:15	FY2018 (1)	28:24;31:3;49:13;	7:10,13,15,17;25:5,
7:22;14:17;16:24;	frames (1)	100:19	109:15,17	16,17,24;26:4;27:4;
17:23;38:16,17;55:12;	18:10		heard (1)	30:2,10;31:16;47:22;
80:24;84:13,14;85:25;	free (3)	G	59:25	48:13;67:9,16,17,23;
86:17;91:7;92:23;	9:3;32:14;35:20		held (3)	68:2,10;86:8;113:11
96:15	FRIEDMANN (138)	gate (1)	27:12;41:21;89:12	HR (2)
firsthand (1)	6:11;7:21;9:2;10:14,	46:11	help (3)	37:4;46:23
56:15	25;11:9;12:14;13:10,	general (6)	73:8;82:3;111:24	hundred (1)
five (1)	21;15:7,16;16:21;	48:3;50:9;66:10,17;	helpful (2)	60:12
114:17	18:21,23;19:6,24;	81:5;114:14	73:7,9	hypothetically (1)
five-minute (1)	21:20;22:3,9,25;23:10,	generally (6)	hereby (1)	46:9
100:6	15;25:6;26:6;28:2;	22:17;23:4;24:10;	118:7	
FLOREY (5)	30:3;32:16;33:19;	28:14,22;48:21	hereto (1)	1
3:7;73:9,16;100:3;	34:25;35:17;37:21;	generated (4)	105:19	
110:4	39:8,25;42:5;43:12,17,	24:16;111:14;112:4,	high (1)	idea (1)
focus (3)	20;44:5,17,21;45:7;	7	59:20	22:10
25:21;71:24;99:4	46:6,20;47:9;48:2,15;	gentleman (1)	higher (1)	identification (4)
focused (1)	49:5,14;50:18;52:12,	102:16	43:15	8:12;12:21;17:14;
47:15	23;53:6,25;54:12;55:7;	gets (1)	hilarious (2)	53:16
followed (1) 58:3	56:8,20;57:20,25;	30:15	74:5,7	identify (2)
follows (1)	58:14,24;59:22;61:10, 22;62:20;63:4,20;	<b>given (2)</b> 46:12;118:12	hire (1)	52:21;97:13
6:4	65:24;66:6,8;67:12,19;	Good (5)	98:5 <b>Hoffman (79)</b>	identities (2)
food (1)	68:5,17;71:3,13,15,21;	6:7,8;43:17;53:6;	7:6,8,11,12,15,18;	37:23;41:20
28:15	72:5,13,20;73:4,12,19,	74:7	12:4,8;13:25;14:24;	identity (1) 42:3
footnote (1)	23;74:6;75:10,25;82:9;	government (3)	15:5,11,12,23;16:4,12;	Illinois (12)
95:14	83:25;84:11;85:6;	53:2;54:22;60:14	17:25;18:3,17,19;19:3,	3:6;7:6;34:18;35:7,8,
	86:15,24;88:6,17,21;	greater (2)	13;20:21;21:11;22:7,	9;60:11,22;91:9;93:22;
rorecast (1)			24;23:8,23,24;24:5,7,	95:20;104:3
Forecast (1) 82:5		86:6.7	Z4,Z3,0,Z3,Z4,Z4,1,1,1,1	9.2.20.104.3
	89:18,22;90:6,16,22;	86:6,7 <b>GREINER (1)</b>		
82:5 <b>form (87)</b> 7:21;10:14,25;11:9;		86:6,7 GREINER (1) 3:12	21;25:3;27:2,15,20;	impacts (1)
82:5 form (87)	89:18,22;90:6,16,22; 92:2,11;93:2,18;94:10,	GREINER (1)		impacts (1) 98:10
82:5 <b>form (87)</b> 7:21;10:14,25;11:9; 12:14;15:7,16;16:21; 18:21;19:6,24;21:20;	89:18,22;90:6,16,22; 92:2,11;93:2,18;94:10, 16;96:12;97:15;98:18; 99:10,20;100:5; 101:11,14;102:10;	GREINER (1) 3:12	21;25:3;27:2,15,20; 29:3,18;31:3,6;34:18;	impacts (1)
82:5 <b>form (87)</b> 7:21;10:14,25;11:9; 12:14;15:7,16;16:21;	89:18,22;90:6,16,22; 92:2,11;93:2,18;94:10, 16;96:12;97:15;98:18; 99:10,20;100:5;	GREINER (1) 3:12 grounds (1)	21;25:3;27:2,15,20; 29:3,18;31:3,6;34:18; 37:16;47:19,23,25;	impacts (1) 98:10 important (2)
82:5 <b>form (87)</b> 7:21;10:14,25;11:9; 12:14;15:7,16;16:21; 18:21;19:6,24;21:20;	89:18,22;90:6,16,22; 92:2,11;93:2,18;94:10, 16;96:12;97:15;98:18; 99:10,20;100:5; 101:11,14;102:10;	GREINER (1) 3:12 grounds (1) 109:24	21;25:3;27:2,15,20; 29:3,18;31:3,6;34:18; 37:16;47:19,23,25; 60:23;61:18;64:23;	impacts (1) 98:10 important (2) 52:10;108:25

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 Exhibit C - Meghii Deposition Transcript Pg 38 of 45

MOHSIN 1

101:9	48:6	21:7,7,14;22:8;59:17;	95:19;96:3,7	70:3,4,13,16;84:19;
improper (1)	instead (3)	62:6;65:12;80:16;	Lampert (3)	85:4
110:2	20:9;80:7;106:12	91:24;92:4;98:17;	11:20;100:23;101:5	lines (2)
inaccurate (1)	integration (1)	101:9;102:9;105:10,	language (1)	41:9;49:7
96:11	24:23	21,25;107:21;108:3;	56:5	list (2)
incentive (3)	interaction (1)	109:14,16;110:19;	large (1)	8:24;55:23
86:13,21;88:15	58:3	113:24;116:15	98:23	listed (1)
incentives (1)	interpret (2)	job's (1)	last (5)	38:4
48:9	63:7;70:17	17:7	75:11;84:4;95:8;	lists (1)
include (2)	interpreted (1)	John (2)	113:21;115:23	37:9
25:15;60:10 included (4)	84:18	13:4;102:15	later (1)	literally (1)
37:14;56:14;68:11;	interpreting (2) 70:18,22	Jones (2) 57:5,13	18:12   learn (1)	71:8 litigation (1)
105:20	into (9)	judge (2)	112:20	36:21
includes (3)	8:8;10:8,11;28:17;	74:4;109:25	learned (1)	little (4)
8:18;55:13;85:20	29:18;39:10;47:13;	July (5)	59:23	33:16;35:25;60:18;
including (4)	57:11;109:8	55:20;56:11;77:11,	learning (2)	110:7
6:22;7:9;60:22;	investigate (1)	13,25	74:8,11	lobbying (1)
105:17	52:7	jump (1)	least (4)	60:11
indicate (23)	investigated (2)	32:15	31:16;72:23,25;	located (22)
16:3,10;40:24;48:11;	39:7;68:6	June (2)	90:18	23:23;29:2;34:17;
53:23;61:16;62:25;	involved (3)	76:12,22	leave (1)	37:10,15;60:22;61:13,
69:8;70:15;74:15,23;	30:12,24;50:9		93:10	25;65:3;69:6;74:21;
76:18;77:19;78:23;	issued (2)	K	leeway (1)	77:17;78:18;90:17;
79:15,24;83:18;86:11,	30:16;42:8		110:7	91:9,13;92:25;93:22,
19;91:16;94:2;104:10,	issues (1)	KADISH (1)	left (3)	23;104:2,7,15
20 indicated (6)	57:24	3:16	9:14;35:6;100:2	location (4)
24:15;82:19;86:12;	item (2) 6:17;95:8	<b>Keep (6)</b> 33:19;42:8;58:25;	left-hand (3)	24:3;35:7;37:9;
95:8;99:5;101:15	itemized (1)	71:10;93:3,6	35:11;53:20;55:15 left-most (1)	96:17
indicates (20)	8:20	keeping (3)	82:13	logistically (1) 29:17
34:16;38:10;55:5;	iVisitor (1)	99:14;107:2;113:7	legislators (1)	long (1)
63:10,15,18;65:21;	57:8	keeps (1)	60:11	66:13
69:20;70:5;76:15,22;		72:10	less (2)	look (12)
79:4;83:5;84:9;95:17;	J	KENNETH (1)	25:17;96:16	23:17;35:5;41:8;
96:17;97:4;103:25;		3:7	lesson (1)	45:16,18,20;49:15;
104:6;109:13	James (1)	kept (1)	74:7	50:21;51:20;54:2;
indicating (3)	13:3	111:15	letter (31)	83:21,21
47:24;86:2;87:4	January (34)	kflorey@robbins-schwartzcom (1)	12:15,17,20;13:3,11,	looked (10)
indication (2)	12:3;34:15;35:5,16,	3:9	13;14:12,18,19,23;	20:5;27:9;47:13;
30:15;99:2 individual (6)	22;36:3;37:25;38:11; 40:25;41:9,14,22;42:4;	kind (4)	15:21;16:4,11,17,19,	49:6;51:21;58:9;62:19;
6:14;8:24;33:15;	40:23,41:9,14,22,42:4;	27:17;30:13,17;	22,23;17:3,13,19,21,	108:6;109:9,10
37:25;52:24;92:14	47:8;49:22;50:7;51:18;	40:15   <b>kinds (1)</b>	24;19:3,10,14;21:11, 14;22:6;102:16;	Looking (14) 21:10;26:22;46:25;
individually (1)	53:24;64:6;72:23;88:2,	31:8	114:12,13	57:11;65:19;70:25;
61:3	10,16;89:17;98:22,22;	knew (4)	letters (3)	81:20;83:15;87:16;
individuals (15)	112:13,16;114:13	27:13,14;28:7;	12:6;19:9;112:19	96:14;97:23;106:7,15;
24:17,17;25:11,12;	Jared's (1)	112:18	level (2)	107:18
26:4;37:24;41:21;42:3;	29:5	knowledge (13)	48:11;59:20	looks (5)
64:7;67:10;68:2;90:9;	Jennifer (7)	11:15;28:13;30:22;	life (1)	21:16,21;36:10;
101:21;103:17;113:18	24:21;27:7;38:9;	32:11;42:14;68:14;	48:5	38:21;81:20
Inform (1)	40:4,6;56:23;102:23	73:17,20;83:16;85:9,	limit (1)	lot (2)
100:17	Jennifer's (4)	18;90:4;112:14	60:6	66:14;75:18
information (7)	55:16;56:17,25;	known (1)	limited (2)	lots (2)
6:21,23;28:9;40:17; 53:4;54:18;73:10	57:17 job (4)	8:19 Irnows (2)	8:2,7	36:17;98:24
informing (1)	99:3;101:23;105:10;	knows (2)	line (37)	M
101:6	113:18	27:25;42:2	38:20;39:15,21; 41:11,11,12,13;44:2,	M
initial (1)	jobs (43)	$\mathbf{L}$	11;45:9;47:2;51:3,5;	main (1)
33:3	12:8,10;13:7;14:3,	·	52:18;55:17;56:24;	31:8
inside (2)	23;15:2,3,5,12,22;	labelled (2)	57:3,18,23,23;62:16,	maintained (8)
40:7;110:20	16:20;17:10,11;18:5,8,	82:5,14	22,25;63:17,22;65:20,	13:7;14:3;18:5,8;
instance (1)	19;19:15,19,22,23;	labor (3)	21;66:3,7,9;69:19;	59:18;107:21;108:3;
		• •	. , , , ,	, , ,

Exhibit C -

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al.

Substitution				April 4, 2017
111:15	18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1;	misstated (1)	name (2)	21:7;22:22;23:8;24:11;
maintaining (1)	22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1;	7:22	9:6,7	27:2,3,12,21;29:11;
88:20	26:1;27:1;28:1;29:1;	Misstates (5)	named (1)	32:2;34:22;35:14;
maintenance (1)	30:1;31:1;32:1;33:1;	18:23;42:6;67:20;	52:24	37:15,19,22;38:10;
31:12	34:1;35:1;36:1;37:1;	84:12;85:7	names (4)	39:3;41:5,10,17;42:18;
making (2)	38:1;39:1;40:1;41:1;	Misty (10)	8:21,25;101:20;	43:10;44:13,16;45:12,
52:11;54:19	42:1;43:1;44:1;45:1;	52:24;54:21;58:20,	102:12	24;46:17,18;47:6,18,
manage (1)	46:1;47:1;48:1;49:1;	21;59:21,24;60:5;	necessarily (1)	21;48:8;50:16,17;
22:20	50:1;51:1;52:1;53:1;	101:24;102:8;108:14	86:25	51:11,13,17,19;52:5;
Management (3) 6:24;30:25;99:18	54:1;55:1;56:1;57:1; 58:1;59:1;60:1;61:1;	Mo (1) 66:13	need (9)	55:5;62:16;63:2,6,10,
manager (1)	62:1;63:1;64:1;65:1;	Mohsin (4)	9:3;37:16;43:20;	24;65:13,22;67:9,23;
24:22	66:1;67:1;68:1;69:1;	8:11;9:8;118:7,17	52:6;66:23;71:10,12; 89:4;109:20	69:8,14,20,23;72:10; 76:25;77:20;78:24;
many (9)	70:1;71:1;72:1;73:1;	moment (10)	needed (1)	80:15;82:18,25;83:2,5,
11:5;30:10,15,16;	74:1;75:1;76:1;77:1;	9:5;21:3;25:22;	50:11	10,11,17;84:15;85:4,9;
31:15;67:16,17;72:8;	78:1;79:1;80:1;81:1;	59:16;75:20;76:5;82:2;	needs (1)	86:14,22;92:6,8,12;
83:8	82:1;83:1;84:1;85:1;	95:5;96:15;102:22	9:21	93:23;96:10;97:14,19;
March (11)	86:1;87:1;88:1;89:1;	moments (2)	negotiations (1)	98:3,17;100:17;
8:3;60:20;61:17;	90:1;91:1;92:1;93:1;	34:21;81:19	30:13	104:11;105:21,24;
64:22;65:15;70:15;	94:1;95:1;96:1;97:1;	Monroe (1)	New (7)	106:10,11,13;107:5;
72:24;98:7;107:11,12,	98:1;99:1;100:1;101:1;	3:5	3:15,15,71:17,72:2,	110:19;114:5,5
15	102:1;103:1;104:1;	month (13)	4;98:5;118:3	numbers (29)
mark (2)	105:1;106:1;107:1;	33:15,16;35:16,22;	Next (5)	20:14,14;25:15;
53:10,12	108:1;109:1;110:1;	39:24;45:20;64:13;	8:15;88:25;96:24;	30:23;39:23;41:14;
marked (8)	111:1;112:1;113:1;	66:12;82:14;84:4,6;	100:17;101:4	45:19;46:12;50:15;
8:12,16;12:19,21;	114:1;115:1;116:1;	109:10,10	nitty-gritty (1)	52:17,19;54:7;56:6;
17:14,17;53:16;112:10	117:1;118:7,17	Monthly (6)	54:24	57:19;62:14;63:25;
massive (1)	member (2)	39:22;40:2;45:4;	Nobody (1)	66:22;68:11;76:6,8;
31:11	24:19;80:25	96:18;111:15;112:5	11:11	78:3,9,23;81:10;82:22;
materials (3) 8:24;116:3;117:13	Mendoza (7)	months (4)	noon (1)	84:7;101:10;113:13,18
math (3)	24:22;27:7,12;38:10; 40:4,6;102:23	59:5;82:19;107:15; 108:18	99:21	numerous (1)
61:15;65:6;66:24	mentioned (1)	more (18)	nor (3) 45:9,21;58:3	10:6
mathematically (1)	26:2	7:10,13,15,17;25:5,	normal (1)	O
43:15	mere (1)	16,24;26:5;27:5;47:22;	112:5	<u> </u>
may (16)	107:14	48:13;66:14;68:2,10;	Norris (1)	oath (1)
6:9;9:5;21:2;36:5;	merely (1)	75:18;89:5;96:15;	13:3	118:9
38:15;39:20;40:16;	48:12	113:10	Notary (2)	Object (88)
41:7;59:15;60:18;67:6;	methodology (2)	morning (3)	6:3;118:25	7:21;10:14,25;11:9;
74:15;75:5,14;76:6;	25:13;67:24	6:7,8;47:5	notations (1)	12:14;15:7,16;16:21;
82:10	methods (2)	most (2)	58:7	18:21;19:6,24;21:20;
maybe (2)	109:15;110:18	100:19;101:7	note (3)	22:3,9,25;23:10,15;
99:25;114:21	middle (1)	motion (1)	57:17,22;95:13	25:6;26:6;30:3;34:25;
mean (10)	37:7	111:13	noted (1)	35:17;37:21;39:25;
11:3;24:6;35:14;	might (2)	mouth (1)	117:23	42:5;43:12;44:5,17,21;
42:23;44:16;46:7;82:8;	29:9;107:24	49:10	Notes (2)	45:7;46:6,20;47:9;
88:5,7,12	million (4)	move (3)	55:17;56:25	48:2,15;49:5,14;50:18;
meaning (1)	48:9,25;52:11;101:7	31:2;66:20;98:10	Notice (7)	52:12,23;53:25;55:7;
113:3 means (9)	mind (5) 16:24;17:23;23:18;	moved (1)	6:10,12,13;7:25;8:5;	56:8,20;57:20,25;
42:22;44:19;47:5;	33:19;109:2	28:17	53:11,15	58:14;61:10;63:4,20;
56:9;63:8,21;72:12;	minimum (1)	moving (2)	Noticing (1)	65:24;66:6,8;67:12,19;
87:2;112:23	101:23	98:25;101:3 much (1)	55:21	68:5;75:10,25;82:9;
mechanics (1)	minus (2)	8:23	<b>November (24)</b> 12:3,16,16,20;14:20;	84:11;85:6;86:15,24;
32:5	43:14;65:7	multiple (1)	16:23;17:2,13,20;19:3,	88:6,17,21;90:6,16; 92:2,11;94:10;96:12;
meeting (3)	minute (2)	55:22	14,59:6,80:14,81:14,	97:15;98:18;99:10,20;
68:11;84:4;89:7	102:14;110:24	myself (1)	23;83:24,25;84:2;85:3;	101:11,14;104:23;
meetings (1)	minutes (1)	85:19	91:23;92:20;96:6,10;	105:6,12;106:19;
89:12	98:20	mystery (1)	99:24	107:23;108:12;109:23;
MEGHJI (116)	Misreads (1)	72:16	number (105)	116:17,25;117:15
7:1;8:1,12;9:1,6,8;	105:13		6:20;7:7,9,11,14,16;	Objection (4)
10:1;11:1;12:1;13:1;		NA.T		
	missed (1)	N	12:8;15:2,12,22;16:13;	8:3;53:8;89:18;
14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1;	missed (1) 75:11	IN I	12:8;15:2,12,22;16:13; 17:10;18:8,19;19:15;	8:3;53:8;89:18; 94:16
14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1;		N		

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 Exhibit C -

In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Pg 40 of 45

			1	
obtain (2)	25:7,19,20;27:10;	35:15,21	83:24;84:3;117:23	99:22
48:8,25	29:15;33:2;36:10,18;	payroll (8)	point (12)	proceed (1)
occupancy (3)	39:4;46:2,4,11;51:12;	26:14;30:6;34:23;	10:10,24;28:6,9;	6:19
38:9;40:12;109:11	82:25;89:5;92:8;93:4,			
		36:11,16;37:3;112:25;	33:14;51:15;65:12;	process (7)
occupant (14)	10;98:25;99:25;	113:4	87:6;88:18;99:17;	10:6;18:14;24:23;
38:18;49:11;51:9;	106:23;108:18	PC (1)	110:9;116:13	30:25;50:9;68:7;112:8
53:21,23;55:2;65:22;	outcome (1)	3:12	points (1)	processes (2)
66:5;70:14;77:6;79:12;		people (37)	98:20	109:15;110:18
97:14,16,20	outside (3)	11:5,7;20:5,18;	portion (2)	procurement (1)
October (16)	109:14,16;110:21	25:15,19;26:14;27:9;	77:7;97:19	97:7
10:19;59:5;82:15;	over (6)	30:5,18;32:6;35:15;	position (2)	produced (1)
83:4;84:4,5,10,13,15;	9:25;10:2;14:23;	36:17;38:22;39:4;46:4,	9:7,23	73:16
85:21;88:11;90:13;	31:16;53:7;84:18	10,14;47:18,24;48:4;	positions (1)	production (1)
91:5,18,18;98:23	owned (1)	51:11,13,23;52:14,22;	85:2	28:11
Off (4)	27:22	55:22;67:23;68:8,9;	possession (1)	progress (2)
33:8;112:21,24;	21.22	75:18;83:18,20,23;	117:7	89:8,13
113:2	P			
	<u></u>	98:25;99:3;117:12	possibility (1)	project (1)
office (1)	(4)	PeopleSoft (1)	58:11	107:5
24:7	pace (1)	36:11	possible (3)	projected (5)
officer (8)	60:18	per (11)	33:18;50:14,19	105:21,24;106:10;
9:9,11,17;10:7,10,	page (8)	7:10,13,15,17;25:5;	potential (2)	113:24;114:5
16;11:14,19	63:9;81:22;85:22;	26:5;27:5;47:22;48:13;	100:18;101:7	projecting (2)
often (1)	87:13,14,18,19;94:23	57:5;86:8	practice (1)	88:13,14
75:16	pages (1)	percent (3)	20:20	projection (2)
old (1)	8:22	60:12;87:24;88:8	precise (1)	101:4;108:17
6:25	paid (1)	Perfect (1)	31:5	projections (1)
one (9)	46:14	12:18		107:19
11:14;29:3,9;32:3,	1		prefer (1)	· ·
	painless (1)	period (1)	13:17	promise (1)
17,22;55:12;59:11;	33:18	81:18	premised (1)	58:23
102:7	papers (1)	person (13)	86:13	promises (1)
only (7)	74:3	32:17;36:7,13;40:15;	preparation (2)	58:24
25:15;35:7;39:11;	paragraph (42)	61:5;81:11,17;84:22;	78:9;103:19	prompt (1)
55:8;99:23;104:16;	11:25;12:2;14:17;	85:11,14;88:23;99:14;	prepare (2)	108:19
115:13	16:2,9,25;17:24;34:8;	106:22	74:11;81:8	properly (1)
operate (1)	37:6,8;38:7;40:23;	personal (9)	prepared (13)	71:19
48:20	59:14;60:15,19;61:23;	30:22;32:11;42:14;	7:19,24;8:6;36:2,7,	property (2)
operational (1)	64:19,20;65:11;68:22;	68:13;73:17,20;85:9,	20;40:10,11;54:11;	48:9;49:2
10:3	69:11;74:14;75:2;	17;90:4	55:4;60:25;67:3;71:18	prorated (1)
operations (2)	76:10;77:9,23;78:11;	personally (2)	preparing (9)	87:10
10:11;24:23	79:15;80:4,12;90:10,	67:22;115:2		
			36:14;48:23;56:16;	prove (1)
opinion (1)	25;91:21;92:16;94:6,	personnel (1)	58:6;61:6;68:9;81:7;	117:4
98:13	12;103:22;104:18,25;	26:15	106:12;117:10	provide (1)
opposed (1)	111:10;113:14,22	persons (6)	president (1)	8:15
84:20	part (6)	36:13;40:7;41:18;	18:13	provided (6)
order (2)	25:3;30:19;32:7;	61:5;67:17;86:14	Presumably (3)	12:5;21:19;36:14;
48:8;89:4	36:20;45:21;48:24	pertaining (1)	37:4;64:16;115:11	41:16;61:5;111:12
ordinary (1)	partial (1)	61:7	presume (7)	provides (1)
111:17	33:21	pick (1)	35:19;42:25;44:4,23;	95:13
organization (1)	particular (1)	60:18	48:16;64:3;116:11	providing (2)
10:22	113:17	place (1)	presumed (1)	15:21;20:9
origins (1)	parties (1)	29:6	64:10	proxy (1)
111:25	115:15	places (1)	presuming (2)	9:20
OTB (22)		29:5		
	part-time (1)		42:7,10	Public (9)
7:17;32:23;33:7;	27:13	planning (1)	pretty (2)	6:3;13:24;14:22;
41:12;45:17;47:16;	party (1)	66:25	100:3,4	17:5;18:2;29:2;48:10,
52:17;54:7;62:7;65:14;	27:23	please (14)	previously (2)	17;118:25
69:14;75:9,15;77:3;	passed (1)	14:18,21,25;17:4;	70:19;89:24	pulling (1)
78:5;79:9;80:6;83:13;	101:17	18:9;23:2;62:21;67:13;	Primarily (1)	40:16
107:7;112:18,19,20	pause (1)	72:5;74:9;76:2;89:6;	58:21	purportedly (2)
others (4)	56:6	99:11;109:5	prior (7)	26:23,25
29:9;40:17;58:20;	pay (3)	plus (3)	10:12;11:17;20:20;	purports (1)
76:4	25:25;26:14;30:5	41:14,14;95:21	42:6;67:20;77:7;97:9	44:11
out (22)	paycheck (2)	pm (3)	probably (1)	purposes (5)
(/	p-J 0110011 (2)	r (5)	probably (1)	par poses (3)

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Exhibit C -

				7 tpi ii 4, 2015
28:5;29:13;50:6;	115:20	refunded (1)	replying (1)	resident (3)
80:11;84:25	received (5)	20:13	22:7	23:24;24:21;30:18
Pursuant (4)	7:5;35:15,20;42:8;	regarding (12)	report (47)	resides (1)
13:24;14:22;17:5;	98:16	12:6;14:20;15:12;	34:12,16;38:9;40:4,	116:4
18:2	Recess (4)	17:3;56:6;75:20,21;	7,12;43:3,11;46:13;	resigned (4)
put (3)	53:9;70:9;100:9;	76:5;80:15;111:20;	47:17;48:22;51:17,21;	11:6,11,14,20
34:4;49:10;74:3	110:25	115:25;116:15	53:22;54:3,18;56:16;	resolved (1)
	recipients (1)	related (2)	59:10,11;60:20;64:21;	57:24
Q	105:17	31:10;33:5	65:11;68:9,23,24;	respect (1)
<u> </u>	recitation (1)	relating (1)	70:15;73:3;74:16;	50:20
qualifying (9)	105:23	19:10		
	recognize (5)		76:12,15,21;77:11;	responded (2)
23:13,16;24:12;		relevant (8)	78:13;79:16;90:11,12;	20:8;22:13
91:24;92:4;105:10,21,	12:23;14:8,11;16:16;	20:12;46:15;49:7,12,	91:4,23;92:20,23;	response (7)
25;113:24	17:17	16;54:15;110:11;	93:13;95:19;96:3,5,7;	12:17;15:19;16:22;
quality (1)	recognized (1)	111:13	103:25,25	19:2,10,17,22
57:19	55:24	reliability (1)	reported (16)	Responses (1)
quick (3)	recollection (1)	98:15	39:24;43:11;49:9,17,	8:4
23:17;61:15;65:6	111:25	relied (16)	18,19;53:5;54:5;55:2;	responsibilities (5)
quickly (1)	recommending (1)	20:25;45:15;50:23;	57:19;58:13;63:25;	9:12,19;48:18;60:10,
33:13	101:6	51:22;73:15,25;84:7,	85:4,12;96:10;97:19	13
quote (2)	reconcile (1)	19,24;85:3,11;92:17;	reporting (7)	responsibility (1)
13:6;80:19	45:21	101:21;103:19;115:14,	45:3;47:21;58:16;	81:10
	reconciles (1)	18	81:11;84:22;88:23;	responsible (10)
R	51:24	relies (1)	99:18	9:13;48:17;81:11;
	reconciliation (1)	22:19	reports (21)	84:22;85:11;88:19,23;
rate (2)	50:16	rely (20)	22:22;23:7;24:16;	
87:24;88:8	record (12)			90:9;99:14;106:22
		25:24;45:11;51:17;	27:18;42:18;45:24;	responsive (2)
rather (1)	8:17;28:4,8;42:8,10,	54:18;55:3;80:4,7;	62:16;65:2;66:4;70:13;	19:5,8
114:5	11;71:6,9;72:7;113:5;	85:14;91:22;94:6,7,13,	75:3;76:12;77:16;	restructuring (7)
reach (1)	118:11,12	14,17;96:6;104:18;	78:17;79:21;96:18;	9:9,11,24;10:7,10,
115:3	recorded (1)	108:7;109:11;112:6;	98:16;109:10,11;	16;11:14
read (33)	56:7	115:3	111:21;116:2	result (1)
13:13,15,22,22,22,	recording (1)	relying (4)	represent (2)	98:23
23;14:17;19:8;43:6;	29:13	48:24;52:16;80:14;	34:23;39:23	returned (2)
44:14;47:10,11;56:3;	records (14)	117:19	representation (1)	13:20;18:12
57:11;66:21,22;70:16;	17:9;25:25;26:14,15;	remain (1)	108:11	review (9)
72:10;73:6;82:6;86:9;	64:6;106:14;111:13;	52:15	representations (4)	6:20;49:3;62:14;
89:8,10;90:18,19;93:8,	112:6,25;113:6;115:3,	remained (1)	108:2,7;115:14,15	75:23;76:8;77:6;78:8;
9;95:24;105:14;	9,17;116:14	108:21	represented (3)	79:12;97:9
111:11,17;113:22;	Redman (11)	remaining (2)	10:4;90:8;99:6	reviewed (4)
118:8	52:25;54:21;58:20;	9:17;96:21	request (5)	53:13;58:7;59:19;
reading (9)	60:5,10;101:24;102:3;	remains (1)	12:4,13;19:5,18,22	97:11
16:24;17:23;38:21;	108:15,19;115:10,21	98:6	requested (2)	reviewing (1)
47:12;63:22;84:16;	refer (13)	remember (3)	21:14;95:21	6:10
93:6,11,16	7:2;11:23;14:6;			
		33:6;110:15,16	Requesting (8)	revisit (1)
ready (1)	16:15;22:4;23:4;24:5;	removed (2)	13:6,19;14:2;15:5,	102:14
6:18	38:14;42:17;44:2,10;	27:12;55:25	11;18:3,11;22:7	Rieker (1)
real (4)	47:2;65:10	renovations (1)	require (1)	105:18
14:15;27:7;29:16;	reference (2)	31:12	47:21	right (3)
46:24	90:25;112:18	rent (1)	required (3)	32:20;66:15;110:12
really (5)	referenced (5)	89:5	73:18;98:17;116:12	risk (11)
33:4;34:6;52:5;	6:22;17:21;57:22;	repeat (7)	requirement (3)	98:5,11,22;99:8,16,
55:13;66:15	102:13;113:14	16:7;23:2;62:22;	17:7;31:20;90:3	17,18;100:19;101:7,17,
reason (5)	references (2)	76:2;86:16;94:11;	requirements (1)	18
19:21;21:25;85:8;	32:22;113:23	104:24	114:10	ROBBINS (1)
108:4,7	Referring (10)	repeatedly (1)	requisite (4)	3:3
	ACICITING (10)		12:8,9;15:3;21:7	role (2)
		23:4	1 2.6.9:13:3:71:7	
reasonable (3)	16:2;37:6;38:7;41:4;	23:4 repetitive (1)		
reasonable (3) 9:20;58:17;116:12	16:2;37:6;38:7;41:4; 42:16;53:19,20;57:2;	repetitive (1)	reread (2)	9:18;111:12
reasonable (3) 9:20;58:17;116:12 rebated (1)	16:2;37:6;38:7;41:4; 42:16;53:19,20;57:2; 62:5;100:11	repetitive (1) 33:17	reread (2) 15:8;67:13	9:18;111:12 roles (1)
reasonable (3) 9:20;58:17;116:12 rebated (1) 20:13	16:2;37:6;38:7;41:4; 42:16;53:19,20;57:2; 62:5;100:11 refers (2)	repetitive (1) 33:17 rephrase (1)	reread (2) 15:8;67:13 reside (1)	9:18;111:12 roles (1) 10:7
reasonable (3) 9:20;58:17;116:12 rebated (1) 20:13 recall (7)	16:2;37:6;38:7;41:4; 42:16;53:19,20;57:2; 62:5;100:11 refers (2) 42:24;44:22	repetitive (1) 33:17 rephrase (1) 99:12	reread (2) 15:8;67:13 reside (1) 116:6	9:18;111:12 roles (1) 10:7 roughly (1)
reasonable (3) 9:20;58:17;116:12 rebated (1)	16:2;37:6;38:7;41:4; 42:16;53:19,20;57:2; 62:5;100:11 refers (2)	repetitive (1) 33:17 rephrase (1)	reread (2) 15:8;67:13 reside (1)	9:18;111:12 roles (1) 10:7

				April 4, 201
42:17;47:3;55:4,20;	90:2,9,13;91:2,8,17;	71:4	39:18;52:18;53:2;54:4;	step (6)
62:25;63:10,14;69:19,	92:8,18,24;93:14,21;	several (1)	64:10;84:18;106:23	27:19;46:8;50:4,21;
23;70:25;71:5;72:14;	94:3;98:14,17;100:24;	55:21	sounds (1)	59:15;101:4
84:13,14	101:9,22;102:3,6,24;	SG&A (4)	46:13	steps (5)
rows (1)	103:10;104:2,7,11,13;	24:19;81:2,3;98:10	source (6)	50:3,5;56:17;88:25;
55:8	105:20;107:5,20;	shared (1)	96:17;97:2,7;117:11,	100:17
run (2)	108:3,20,20,25;109:16;		12,17	Steve (2)
11:8;82:14	110:19,21;112:11;	sheet (1)	space (1)	16:17;50:10
running (1)	113:7,19,23;114:9,25;	58:9	89:6	stick (1)
9:14	115:3;116:2,5	shop (1)	speak (1)	28:19
7.11	Sears_EDA_000017 (1)	29:7	20:23	still (6)
S	94:24	shorthand (1)	speaking (7)	22:14;40:18;98:5;
	Sears_EDA_00018 (1)	34:11	22:17;24:10;29:17;	102:3,24;103:10
safe (1)	81:21	show (3)	46:9;59:20,23;60:4	stipulate (3)
47:4	Sears's (3)	12:18;17:16;41:5	specially (1)	7:24;8:6;73:4
salaried (1)	10:11;27:7;48:24	shown (1)	112:7	stood (1)
25:25	second (5)	63:7	specific (2)	112:18
salary (1)	16:3,11;31:13;37:8;	shows (1)	50:22;115:9	stop (3)
35:6	83:17	84:12	specifically (9)	54:9;59:22;72:5
same (13)	section (5)	side (1)		
31:20;61:4,7;63:9,9;	45:8;51:21;55:16;	55:15	11:23;36:23;48:6;	stopped (1)
64:14;68:20;70:4;	70:20;88:24	Sidley (4)	61:2;68:7;82:12,24; 97:12;109:25	99:17
71:22;75:7;93:7;94:16;	secure (1)			stores (1)
109:22	89:4	16:18;20:4;50:10;	specifics (1)	28:25
saying (8)		114:14	39:13	story (1)
	<b>Security's (1)</b> 29:16	signed (2)	spoke (2)	47:13
45:22;49:2;72:10;		114:13;118:20	103:19;115:7	strategies (2)
82:4;85:2;99:15;	seem (1)	similar (1)	spoken (2)	89:8,12
108:17;114:25	70:18	59:11	45:9;56:22	streamline (1)
Sbarro (1) 29:6	seemingly (1)	simply (3)	sport (1)	7:23
	21:24	20:9;49:16;108:24	32:20	strike (3)
scan (4)	seems (1)	single (3)	SS (1)	65:20;98:14;101:2
29:19,21;55:23;	56:10 Sallian (1)	66:12;85:4;93:7	118:4	studied (1)
56:18	Selling (1)	sit (1)	staff (3)	45:8
School (1)	81:5	66:21	10:24;11:3,4	stuff (1)
8:4 CCHANA DEZ (1)	semantics (1)	sitting (1)	stakeholders (1)	49:10
SCHWARTZ (1)	117:2	42:13	10:5	subjected (1)
	senior (1)	six (2)	stamped (2)	31:20
scope (2)	14:15	71:8;114:21	81:21;94:24	subscribed (1)
	sense (2)	sixth (1)	standpoint (1)	118:20
Sears (166)	107:20,24	71:4	24:7	subtract (1)
	sent (2)	skipping (1)	stands (2)	37:16
9:9;10:9,16;11:8;13:4,	16:4,11	93:7	33:7;112:20	Suite (1)
	sentence (1)	slow (2)	start (3)	3:5
23;16:11;17:6;18:4,5,	113:21	90:20,24	6:9;25:8;38:16	summary (1)
	separate (4)	smaller (1)	starting (1)	35:18
20;21:5,12,13,19;22:7,	27:22;29:2;31:22;	29:8	107:8	support (3)
15,20,21,22;23:5,9,20,	97:18	Somebody (3)	starts (1)	36:14;61:6;116:14
	September (7)	37:3,5;115:7	84:3	sure (11)
21;26:3,9,11,13;27:3,	59:5;79:17,25;80:16,	someone (2)	state (20)	6:18;11:11;15:9;
16,22,25;28:25;29:11,	20;84:7,10	25:23;48:12	10:21,23;11:2,3;	28:12;36:18;44:25;
	series (2)	somewhere (1)	34:9;35:7;38:8;60:19;	57:9;68:17;76:3;
25;32:4,5;34:17;37:15,	80:8;99:13	27:15	61:24;64:20;68:23;	104:25;117:3
	seriously (2)	sorry (23)	76:11;77:10;78:12;	surprise (1)
42:2,24;44:22;45:25;	48:18;99:4	11:18;15:8,17;16:7;	80:13;91:7;103:23;	101:19
	servers (2)	28:19;32:21;38:19;	105:2;118:3,25	suspended (1)
47:21;48:7,10,16,19;	57:6,7	51:12;59:15;61:20;	stated (1)	87:8
	services (3)	65:20;69:22;75:11;	9:6	swipe (1)
61:12,17;64:6,22;65:7;	14:16;116:10,21	78:20;84:2;87:16;92:3;	states (3)	29:24
		06.00.07.16.104.04	55:20;75:16;92:12	swiped (1)
67:17,25;68:4,25;69:9;	set (2)	96:23;97:16;104:24;	1	
67:17,25;68:4,25;69:9; 74:16,21,23;76:13,18;	89:7,105:16	107:11;109:5,5	status (1)	64:8
67:17,25;68:4,25;69:9; 74:16,21,23;76:13,18; 77:12,16,20;78:14,18,	89:7;105:16 setting (1)	107:11;109:5,5 sort (15)	status (1) 100:18	64:8 swipes (19)
67:17,25;68:4,25;69:9; 74:16,21,23;76:13,18; 77:12,16,20;78:14,18, 24;79:18,22,25;80:25;	89:7,105:16	107:11;109:5,5	status (1)	64:8

		1		
23;71:2,7;72:16,18,21;	38:4	24:19;48:17;113:12	types (1)	verify (2)
75:22;76:6;78:8;116:2	term (2)	top (10)	31:5	108:20;117:13
swiping (1)	23:13;34:12	35:6,6,10;39:21;	typically (1)	version (1)
46:2	terminated (1)	53:20;83:22;84:20;	42:24	38:2
sworn (2) 6:3;105:9	11:6 terms (12)	87:13,15,19	U	versus (2)
systems (2)	9:21;22:6;25:23;	topic (3) 6:20;7:23;56:22	U	30:17;32:7 view (1)
36:11,12	28:10,15;30:6;39:23;	topics (4)	under (10)	115:8
	54:5,23,25;56:16,22	7:20,25;8:2,7	41:8;51:8;56:25;	Village (23)
T	testified (3)	total (11)	63:12,24;66:7;85:24;	7:6;12:4,5,12;13:18,
	6:4;64:5;67:14	27:9,11;37:13;45:19;	86:18;98:3;118:9	25;14:13;15:10;16:4,
tab (4)	testify (2)	55:10;69:20;77:19;	underlying (2)	12;17:24;18:3,11,12,
8:19;37:8;91:7;	7:24;101:22	78:24;92:12;94:18;	115:25;116:15	17;19:2,12,23;20:21;
92:23	testimony (8)	96:16	understood (1)	21:13,18;102:17;
table (19) 54:24;81:23;82:4;	42:6;46:3;67:20; 103:20;105:9;114:24;	towards (2) 27:2;37:7	46:2	114:10 Villagela (1)
83:15;84:9,14,19;	118:8,11	Track (4)	underutilized (1) 89:6	Village's (1) 19:5
87:24;88:9;94:24;95:2,	therefore (2)	33:8;81:10;99:14;	Unit (1)	visual (1)
4,13;96:14,17;97:10,	58:12;104:10	113:7	8:4	56:18
12,19;107:10	therein (1)	tracked (4)	unquote (1)	visually (1)
tabs (1)	105:9	7:4;24:24;29:15;	80:20	55:23
34:4	Third (2)	30:23	up (15)	VP (4)
talk (1)	3:14;27:22	tracking (1)	9:5;30:7;39:20;40:5;	52:25,25;54:22;
28:6	third-party (1)	25:18	41:15;44:2,9;58:3;	60:14
talked (4)	31:14	tracks (2)	60:18;89:7;95:4;96:23;	***
28:16;34:21;70:12;	though (2)	26:13;30:5	109:9,12;114:20	W
102:8 talking (10)	74:8;94:7 thought (1)	transcript (3)	upcoming (1)	11 1 (1)
24:8;26:8;28:17;	110:10	89:23;118:8,10 <b>Transform (5)</b>	17:3 update (5)	walked (1) 33:12
30:7,8;34:13;45:24,25;	three (6)	102:5;103:3,13;	57:10;84:3;87:14,21;	wants (1)
59:25;64:5	41:9;55:8;87:3;	116:5,9	100:13	54:13
talks (1)	96:24;107:15;108:18	transition (2)	updated (1)	wasting (2)
73:13	threshold (7)	116:10,21	57:8	66:16;71:15
tax (4)	87:8,11,25;88:10;	transmittal (1)	<b>Update-December (1)</b>	way (11)
48:9;49:2;86:13,21	89:17;98:6;99:9	50:8	97:24	25:18;27:6;32:5;
taxes (3)	tied (1)	transmitted (1)	upon (3)	38:17;43:21;52:19;
17:4;19:11;20:12	33:4 tight (1)	38:9	73:25;103:19;115:18	101:8;102:13;107:19;
team (4) 24:19;32:19;46:24;	29:16	trends (1) 98:5	use (7) 10:8;29:5;34:12;	108:19;112:9 week (15)
81:2	time/part-time (1)	trick (1)	41:7;106:11;109:16;	7:10,14,15,18;10:17;
technicalities (1)	86:5	93:5	110:19	25:5,24;26:5;27:5;
26:18	times (3)	true (6)	used (8)	30:2;47:22;48:14;68:3;
telling (3)	71:9;72:8,25	34:10;61:4;68:12,14;	23:13;25:14;31:13;	86:8;113:11
49:6;51:18,20	title (3)	118:10,12	39:18;47:17;56:13,18;	weeks (2)
ten (1)	52:25;60:14;70:25	trustees (1)	58:16	106:23;115:24
10:18	titled (1)	18:14	uses (1)	weren't (1)
tenant (27)	112:11	try (1)	42:9	35:20
7:12;23:21;25:2;	today (23)	33:18	using (1)	West (1)
38:10;39:3;40:25; 41:12;45:17,19;47:16;	7:20;30:14;39:9;	trying (4)	27:17	3:5
49:19;52:17;53:23;	42:13;43:18;48:23; 58:6;62:15;67:4;75:23;	21:24;33:2;93:5; 117:4	$\mathbf{v}$	whatnot (1)
54:7;61:25;62:7;65:12,	76:8;77:7;78:9;79:13;	turn (1)	Y	75:22 what's (11)
14;69:12;75:3;76:22;	97:9;101:22;102:21;	111:8	valid (1)	9:10,18,22;19:7,8;
77:2,24;79:4,8;83:11;	103:20;114:25;116:3,	Turning (7)	39:18	32:23;39:23;51:15;
107:6	7;117:10,14	60:15;74:14;76:10;	variety (2)	90:8;97:16;108:5
tenants (27)	together (1)	77:9;87:13;90:10;	10:2,3	Whichever (1)
25:9;26:23,25;27:21,	40:16	103:22	various (5)	13:16
23;28:16,20,23;29:12,	told (10)	two (8)	29:8;31:14;40:7;	whole (2)
24;30:9,11;45:12;55:6,	54:17;58:18,19;	19:8;24:17;29:9;	98:20;105:17	105:15;108:23
13;67:7,11,15;69:15;	61:22;71:22;73:14;	31:8;52:7;84:20;96:15;	vendor (1)	who's (2)
75:9,15;78:5;80:6; 89:5;92:9;96:22,25	108:5;109:6;115:7;	106:23	57:7	26:15;74:8
89:5;92:9;96:22,25 tendered (1)	117:20 took (3)	type (2) 28:23;31:4	vendors (1)	whose (1)
	(J)	20.23,31.T	28:15	99:3

				April 4, 2019
within (9)	112:9,10	14:7,9;15:19;21:3,4,	90:13;91:5,18,23,25;	300's (1)
22:23;34:17;60:22;	1,129 (1)	4;78:11	92:20;94:9,15,21;	8:5
62:2;91:9;92:5;104:3;	79:4	16 (9)	97:24;100:12;102:16;	30b6 (2)
115:23;117:6	1,151 (1)	16:15,16;17:21;	103:24;104:11,19;	6:12,16
without (1)	77:24	18:25;19:4;79:15;80:4,		31 (19)
109:21	1,180 (1)	12;85:21	106:2,4,5,21;107:4,14;	34:15,23;36:3;74:15;
witness (11)	76:22	16th (1)	108:17;109:19;112:13,	77:11,13;78:13;90:13;
6:2;32:14,21;43:22;	1,186 (2)	88:11	16;113:25;114:9,11	91:5,18,25;103:24;
54:16;70:6;71:19;	75:3,13	17 (31)	2017-calendar (1)	105:10,22,25;106:5;
73:10,16;74:11;93:5	1,276 (2)	19:19;21:18,19;22:7,	17:8	112:13,16;113:25
word (1)	65:11;69:12	8;27:10;28:6;41:12;	2018 (45)	312.332.7760 (1)
93:7	1,302 (2)	42:12;47:19;49:8,21;	10:19;16:23;17:3,3,	3:8
words (3)	61:24;62:6	58:16;62:17;65:15;	14,20;18:7,8,13,20;	31st (3)
49:10;93:9,10	1,512 (4)	70:15;75:14;76:23;	19:4,10,16,23;20:13,	35:5,16;78:15
work (10)	40:25;41:5,10,15	77:25;78:15;80:20;	14;59:11,18;60:6;88:2,	33 (4)
24:5,6;35:7,9;36:17;	1,926 (1)	82:15;83:4,24;84:14,	16;89:17;90:2;98:7,10,	56:24;57:3,18,23
38:17;47:11;75:16;	63:7	15;90:10,25;91:21;	11,17;99:5;101:8,10,	34 (1)
102:3;117:12	1/31/2017 (1)	96:6;104:22	17,23;102:9;107:8,15,	55:20
worked (17)	35:12	17th (2)	22;108:3,9;109:22;	35 (18)
9:25;25:5,15,16,24;	10 (7)	96:10;100:14	110:2,17,18;114:24;	7:10,13,15,17;25:5,
27:4;28:23;31:15;32:6;		18 (9)	115:5,21	16,17,24;26:4;27:4;
47:18;48:13;67:9,18,	90:12;91:2,12	41:13;49:8;82:15;	2019 (6)	30:2;31:16;47:22;
23;68:2,9,10	100 (2)	88:10;92:16;94:6,12;	8:3;12:4;49:22;	48:13;68:2,10;86:8;
workers (3)	10:2;46:9	104:18,25	114:13;118:9,21	113:11
7:8;86:5;105:20	10017 (1)	19 (3)	212.682.4940 (1)	388 (3)
working (13)	3:15	103:22;113:14,22	3:17	70:13,17;72:18
7:10,13,14,17;10:15;	11 (6)	195 (1)	22 (1)	70.10,17,72.10
26:4;30:2,11,18;35:20;	10:18;38:23;51:14;	41:15	55:24	4
47:22;66:14;113:10	68:22;69:11;92:17		25 (2)	•
works (2)	11:00 (5)	2	8:3;9:25	4 (9)
102:5;117:8	44:4;47:5;51:23;		27 (2)	68:23;69:5;81:22;
worries (1)	71:7;72:22	2 (10)	12:3,16	87:14,14,18,24;88:8;
75:12	12 (6)	8:19;12:3;60:19,25;	29 (1)	118:9
wrong (2)	8:20;39:15;74:14;	61:11;87:24;88:9;95:2;	64:22	4,000-plus (1)
22:2;74:2	75:2;103:24;104:6	96:14;114:13		38:4
-	12:45 (1)	2,026 (1)	3	4,043 (1)
${f Y}$	117:23	70:5		77:12
	13 (45)	2,074 (3)	3 (5)	4,132 (1)
year (16)	38:14;41:4;42:16,17;	65:23;66:4,7	38:20;64:21;65:2;	76:18
13:9;14:5;15:6,13,	43:3,25;45:2,11;46:25;	2,139 (2)	81:22;98:3	4,181 (1)
23;17:8,10;18:6,20;	48:24;49:4;50:22,23;	44:13;45:5	3,339 (1)	76:13
19:16;21:6,15;87:10;	53:19;55:14,15;56:7;	2:51 (2)	104:12	4,183 (1)
107:22;109:18;110:18	58:23;59:4;60:17;	83:24;84:3		
years (1)			13.30 / (1)	1 74:23
years (1)	61:21;62:5,15,24;		3,367 (1) 94:2	74:23 <b>4.209 (1)</b>
9:25	61:21;62:5,15,24;	2016 (2)	94:2	4,209 (1)
	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19,	<b>2016 (2)</b> 55:20;56:11	94:2 <b>3,379</b> (1)	<b>4,209</b> (1) 69:9
9:25	61:21;62:5,15,24;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114)	94:2 <b>3,379 (1)</b> 104:2	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1)
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6,	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3)	<b>4,209</b> (1) 69:9 <b>4,235</b> (1) 65:7
9:25 yesterday (1)	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23;	<b>2016 (2)</b> 55:20;56:11 <b>2017 (114)</b> 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6,	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1)
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3)	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4,	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1)	<b>4,209</b> (1) 69:9 <b>4,235</b> (1) 65:7 <b>4,236</b> (1) 74:16
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3)	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44)	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10,	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1)
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2)	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15,	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20)
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1)	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23;
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14,	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9;
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0 097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5;	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15,	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1)	<b>4,209</b> (1) 69:9 <b>4,235</b> (1) 65:7 <b>4,236</b> (1) 74:16 <b>4,245</b> (1) 61:16 <b>4,250</b> (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7;
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0 097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5; 18:2	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12,	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3;47:8;48:19;51:18;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17	<b>4,209</b> (1) 69:9 <b>4,235</b> (1) 65:7 <b>4,236</b> (1) 74:16 <b>4,245</b> (1) 61:16 <b>4,250</b> (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21;
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0 097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5;	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12, 12;104:19,19;105:2,3,	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3;47:8;48:19;51:18; 53:24;58:4;59:6;60:20;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17 3,645 (2)	<b>4,209</b> (1) 69:9 <b>4,235</b> (1) 65:7 <b>4,236</b> (1) 74:16 <b>4,245</b> (1) 61:16 <b>4,250</b> (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21; 108:3,21,22;115:2
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0 097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5; 18:2	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12, 12;104:19,19;105:2,3, 18,19;106:3,4,7,21;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3,31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3,47:8,48:19;51:18; 53:24;58:4;59:6;60:20; 61:17;62:3;63:3,6,15,	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17 3,645 (2) 78:14,25	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21; 108:3,21,22;115:2 4,265 (1)
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0 097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5; 18:2 1 1 (18)	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12, 12;104:19,19;105:2,3, 18,19;106:3,4,7,21; 107:3,4,4,14;108:17;	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3,31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3;47:8;48:19;51:18; 53:24;58:4;59:6;60:20; 61:17;62:3;63:3,6,15, 19;64:22;68:24;74:15;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17 3,645 (2) 78:14,25 3,996 (1)	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21; 108:3,21,22;115:2 4,265 (1) 68:25
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3  0  097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5; 18:2  1  1 (18) 6:20;8:19;18:13;	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12, 12;104:19,19;105:2,3, 18,19;106:3,4,7,21; 107:3,4,4,14;108:17; 114:9	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3;47:8;48:19;51:18; 53:24;58:4;59:6;60:20; 61:17;62:3;63:3,6,15, 19;64:22;68:24;74:15; 75:5;76:7,12;77:11,13;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17 3,645 (2) 78:14,25 3,996 (1) 77:21	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21; 108:3,21,22;115:2 4,265 (1) 68:25 4,288 (2)
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3 0 097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5; 18:2 1 1 (18) 6:20;8:19;18:13; 34:9;36:2,15;37:8,14;	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18  14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12, 12;104:19,19;105:2,3, 18,19;106:3,4,7,21; 107:3,4,4,14;108:17; 114:9  14th (3)	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3;47:8;48:19;51:18; 53:24;58:4;59:6;60:20; 61:17;62:3;63:3,6,15, 19;64:22;68:24;74:15; 75:5;76:7,12;77:11,13; 78:13;79:5,17,25;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17 3,645 (2) 78:14,25 3,996 (1) 77:21 30 (5)	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21; 108:3,21,22;115:2 4,265 (1) 68:25 4,288 (2) 64:22;65:6
9:25 yesterday (1) 109:25 York (3) 3:15,15;118:3  0  097-0636 (4) 13:25;14:22;17:5; 18:2  1  1 (18) 6:20;8:19;18:13;	61:21;62:5,15,24; 63:10,14,17;65:10,19, 20;67:6;69:18,19,23; 70:3,12;75:2,21;76:6, 10,21;77:7;79:4;80:5; 97:18 14 (44) 16:23;17:2,13,20; 19:3;41:11;49:7;51:3; 77:9,23;80:7;81:22; 85:20;87:14,18;91:22; 92:7,7,12;94:7,9,13,15, 21;97:13,22;100:12, 12;104:19,19;105:2,3, 18,19;106:3,4,7,21; 107:3,4,4,14;108:17; 114:9	2016 (2) 55:20;56:11 2017 (114) 12:3,7,7,16,16,21; 13:9;14:5,20,21;15:2,6, 14,24;16:5,13,20;17:4, 10;19:11,22;20:8,10, 12,14;21:5,6,12,15,17; 24:17;28:3;31:5;34:15, 24;35:22;36:3;37:25; 38:11;40:25;41:9,14, 22;42:4;43:4,8;44:13; 45:3;47:8;48:19;51:18; 53:24;58:4;59:6;60:20; 61:17;62:3;63:3,6,15, 19;64:22;68:24;74:15; 75:5;76:7,12;77:11,13;	94:2 3,379 (1) 104:2 3,408 (3) 92:24;93:14,21 3,435 (1) 91:17 3,477 (2) 90:13;91:8 3,482 (1) 79:25 3,525 (1) 79:17 3,645 (2) 78:14,25 3,996 (1) 77:21	4,209 (1) 69:9 4,235 (1) 65:7 4,236 (1) 74:16 4,245 (1) 61:16 4,250 (20) 12:9;13:7;14:3,23; 15:3;17:11;18:4,9; 19:20;21:7;60:6;86:7; 90:2;107:8,11,21; 108:3,21,22;115:2 4,265 (1) 68:25 4,288 (2)

18-23538-shl Doc 3215-3 Filed 04/15/19 Entered 04/15/19 22:03:13 In re: SEARS HOLDING CORPORATION, et al. Exhibit C -

			April 4, 20
4,356 (3)			
37:20,22;43:16	6		
4,411 (5)	<u> </u>		
34:16;37:13,17;	6 (13)		
43:13,14			
4,433 (1)	38:23;44:2,11;51:14;		
105:9	62:16,25,25;65:21;		
4,435 (6)	66:3,7;70:4;76:11;		
104:21;105:4,22,25;	111:10		
106:8;113:25	6:00 (4)		
4,445 (1)	44:3;47:5;71:7;		
94:19	72:22		
4,454 (4)	6:00-11:00 (2)		
	44:12;47:4		
69:21,24,25;70:3	60 (1)		
4,512 (1)	8:21		
63:15	600-1100 (2)		
4,600 (1)	63:6,23		
92:3	60603 (1)		
4,681 (4)	3:6		
43:6,9,13,15	630 (1)		
4,698 (4)	3:14		
84:5;91:24;92:4,7	649 (1)		
4,733 (7)	41:14		
80:19;83:5,8;84:6,	668 (2)	I	
10,15;85:2	41:14;50:24	I	THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O
40 (1)	68,000 (1)		
104:7	11:13		
41 (1)	11.13		
93:23	7		
412 (1)			
63:24	7 (13)		
42 (1)	7 (13)		
91:13	11:25;12:16,20;		
43 (1)	14:20;16:2,9;77:10,16;		
79:22	80:14;81:23;84:2;85:3;		
433 (1)	91:23		
96:11	7th (1)		
44 (2)	83:25		
78:18,20			
	8		
446 (3)			
47:8;51:17,19	8 (6)		
4681 (1)	34:8;37:6;38:7;		
43:4	40:23;78:12,17		
47 (1)	8.7 (1)		
77:16	101:7		
49 (1)	8.7M (1)		
76:16	100:19		
	800 (1)	İ	
5	3:5	ļ	
5 (5)	9		
47:2;51:5;63:18;			
70:13,16	9 (6)	1	
30 (2)			
8:21;46:10	59:14;60:15,19;		
33 (4)	61:23;79:16,21		
61:12;65:3,7;74:21			
55 (4)			
3:5;37:10,16;43:14			
<b>56 (1)</b> 69:5			
U7.J			